

#5

COMPLETE

Collector: EAAFP MOP11 Reporting Template (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, January 12, 2023 10:02:34 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, January 12, 2023 10:18:05 AM
Time Spent: 00:15:31
IP Address: 52.64.75.123

Page 2: General Information

Q1

Name of Reporting Group

Yellow Sea Taskforce

Q2

Task Forces and Working Groups (TF/WG)

Reporting Group

Q3

Designated EAAFP Focal Point

Name and title	Bruce McKinlay Technical Advisor
Affiliation	Department of Conservation
P.O. Box/Street address	PO Box 5244 Dunedin 9016 New Zealand
E-mail address	:bmckinlay@doc.govt.nz
Telephone	+64272247989
Website	www.doc.govt.nz

Q4

Respondent skipped this question

Additional Designated EAAFP Focal Point (Optional)

Q5

Respondent skipped this question

Additional Designated EAAFP Focal Point (Optional)

Q6

Report compiler

Name and title

Bruce McKinlay Technical Advisor

Affiliation

Department of Conservation

P.O. Box/Street address

PO Box 5244 Dunedin 9016 New Zealand

E-mail address

bmckinlay@doc.govt.nz

Telephone

+64272247989

Website

www.doc.govt.nz

Page 3: Reporting on the implementation of the EAAFP Strategic Plan 2018 - 2022

Q7**Respondent skipped this question**

RQ1. (Govt) Do you have a publicly accessible list of internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds in your country? If yes, please provide the web link or the reference in the below box. If not, would you like assistance from other Partners to develop such a list (please let us know your opinion in the box right below)?

Q8**Respondent skipped this question**

RQ2. (Govt) Have any additional internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds been identified in your country (for background, see EAA Flyway Network Sites Overview Report 2013)? If yes, please provide details on these sites.

Q9**Respondent skipped this question**

RQ3. (Non-Government Partners) Have you documented any additional internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds in the EAAF (see EAA Flyway Network Sites Overview Report 2013)? If yes, please provide details on these sites.

Q10**Respondent skipped this question**

RQ4. (Govt) Have high priority candidate sites been identified for potential nomination to join the Flyway Site Network? If yes, please provide details on these sites. If not, would you like assistance from other Partners?

Q11

Respondent skipped this question

RQ5. (Govt) Have any additional sites been nominated for the Flyway Site Network since MoP10 (December 2018)? If yes or planned, please provide the names of these sites.

Q12

Respondent skipped this question

RQ6. (INGO, Corporate) Have you supported Government Partners with their identification of high priority candidate sites for the potential nomination of the new Flyway Site Network? If yes, please provide details of your support and the associated sites.

Q13

Respondent skipped this question

RQ7. (Govt) How many additional Flyway Network Sites do you anticipate there will be in your country by 2025?

Page 5

Q14

Respondent skipped this question

RQ8. (Govt) Which Flyway Network Sites (FNS) in your country have a Management Plan and when is it due to be updated?

Q15

Respondent skipped this question

RQ9. (Govt, INGO, IGO) Please provide examples of how the "Flyway Site Network" brand is being recognized.

Q16

Respondent skipped this question

RQ10. (Govt, INGO, IGO) Have any public consultation processes been implemented when a site of international importance for migratory waterbirds could be adversely impacted by a proposed development? If yes, please provide brief details on the site/s and if the development was approved.

Q17

Respondent skipped this question

RQ11. (Govt, INGO, IGO) Please provide brief details on any sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds that may be adversely impacted by a proposed development and the assessment process that was used or is anticipated to be applied.

Q18

Respondent skipped this question

RQ12. (Govt, INGO) In your country, are there examples of local communities at Flyway Network sites that are dependent on the sites natural resources to support subsistence livelihoods? If yes, please provide details on the site/s and the use of natural resources.

Q19

Respondent skipped this question

RQ13. (Govt, INGO, Sec.) Are you aware of any Flyway Network Sites or other sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds that are currently under threat? If yes, please provide details.

Q20

Respondent skipped this question

RQ14. (Sec.) Please provide a list of new Partners since the last MoP (December 2018).

Page 6

Q21

No

RQ15. (Partners, TFWG) Does your country/organisation have a CEPA Program addressing migratory waterbirds and internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds? If yes, please provide brief details of the program.

Q22

No

RQ16. (Partners, TFWG) Has your country/organisation made use of the EAAFP CEPA Action Plan 2019-2024 when planning and implementing the CEPA activities?

Q23

Respondent skipped this question

RQ17. (Govt, INGO, Corporate) What CEPA activities have taken place at Flyway Network Sites and with which groups? If applicable (under a Sister Site agreement), please describe what have you done and who have you worked with.

Q24

RQ18. (Partners) Has your country/organisation developed, and/or been implementing awareness-raising programs, particularly at Flyway Network Sites, with the following groups (check all that apply)?

National and local governments,

Additional information (please provide a detailed description of the program(s) including target groups, aims, and major achievements):

Also includes Site Managers and General Public Partners have been highlighting World Migratory Bird Day (WMBD) as a feature in the EAAF.

Q25

RQ19. (Partners) Has your country/organisation hosted events for World Migratory Bird Day, World Wetlands Day or other international awareness-raising events since the last MOP (December 2018)?

No**Q26**

RQ20. (Partners, TF/WG) Has your organisation/group been engaging the public regularly through any media channels, including social media, to promote the conservation of migratory waterbirds and the wetlands they use? If yes, please specify the type of media channels by marking boxes that apply.

No**Q27**

RQ21. (Govt, INGO, TF/WG) Has there been any training or capacity building delivered to stakeholders involved in the conservation of migratory birds and wetlands? If yes, please specify the audience/participants by marking the boxes that apply.

No**Q28**

RQ22. (Govt, INGO, TF/WG) Please add below if your country/organisation has any other information regarding CEPA to report.

Implementation of the EAAFP CEPA strategy has been led by Country Partners and site managers. YSTF Engagement has been through other partners

Page 7

Q29

RQ23. (Govt) Is there a program in your country to monitor migratory waterbird numbers? If yes, please provide details on the program, the role of volunteer counters and the monitoring efforts since MoP10 (December 2018).

Respondent skipped this question

Q30

Respondent skipped this question

RQ24. (INGO) In what countries is your organisation involved in migratory waterbird and/or site monitoring (select all that apply)? Please provide details on the monitoring program(s) and monitoring efforts since MoP10 (December 2018).

Q31

RQ25. (Govt, INGO, TF/WG, Sec.) Please report briefly on data management in relation to migratory waterbird population estimates, trends and distributions.

Data management is led by organisations within nations abutting the Yellow Sea.

Q32

RQ26. (Partners, TF/WG, Sec.) Please report on your contribution to the migratory waterbird Conservation Status Review.

Nil action by TF. Contributions made by other Partners

Q33

Respondent skipped this question

RQ27. (Partners, Monitoring TF, Sec.) If you are aware of significant new information on internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds, please provide brief details.

Q34

Respondent skipped this question

RQ28. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please provide details on key research on climate change impacts on migratory waterbirds and wetlands in the EAAF, published since MoP 10 (December 2018). (Please provide the web links if available online or reference for relevant publications)

Q35

Respondent skipped this question

RQ29. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please provide brief information on areas of research programs since the last MoP (2018) about improving conservation and sustainable management outcomes at internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds.

Q36

RQ30. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please give examples of how knowledge generated through research programs on improving conservation and sustainable management outcomes is being applied at internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds.

Partners have been working with IUCN, EAAFP and Ramsar Regional Centre, to support planning for World Heritage listing in China (Phase II).

Q37

Respondent skipped this question

RQ31. (Partners, TsC, TFWG) Please provide brief details on the development and application of best practice guidelines for waterbird and habitat conservation, including the application of traditional knowledge, published/made available since MoP10 (December 2018)?

Q38

Respondent skipped this question

RQ32. (Sec.) What are the best practice guidelines that are available on the EAAFP website?

Page 8

Q39

Respondent skipped this question

RQ33. (Sec.) Please provide updates on identifying/developing internet-based approaches for capacity building for migratory waterbird conservation.

Q40

No

RQ34. (Partners, TsC, TFWG, Sec.) Have you been involved in identifying/developing capacity building materials and opportunities? If yes, please provide some details.

Q41

No

RQ35. (Partners, TsC, TFWG, Sec.) Have you implemented activities to share skills building, tools and experience?

Q42

Respondent skipped this question

RQ36. (Partners, TsC, TFWG, Sec.) Please provide feedback on the use you have made of capacity building materials and activities for migratory waterbirds and the management of their habitat?

Q43

No

RQ37. (Partners, TsC, TFWG, Sec.) Have you considered a training needs assessment in projects you have developed, funded, and/or implemented since MoP10 (December 2018)? If yes, please provide some additional information.

Q44

No

RQ38. (Partners, TsC, TFWG, Sec.) Have you used the EAAFP online technical training materials for Flyway Site management? Please provide some additional information on the usefulness of the materials.

Q45

No

RQ39. (Partners, TsC, TFWG, Sec.) Have you been able to participate in any Meetings of Partner Focal Points? If yes, have any new collaborations with other Partners been developed from the meeting/s? Please provide details.

Q46

RQ40. (Partners, TsC, TFWG, Sec.) Have you been able to prepare your Partner report for the MoP? Have you found any difficulties in producing your report?

Yes Report submitted. No problems with submission

Q47

Respondent skipped this question

RQ41. (Partners, TFWG) Please provide details you have on corporate engagement at internationally important sites and in programs to develop positive outcomes for migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

Page 9

Q48

Respondent skipped this question

RQ42. (Partners, TFWG) Please provide brief details on your transboundary involvement in international collaborative initiatives for threatened migratory waterbirds.

Q49

RQ43. (Partners, TFWG) What do you consider to be the key innovative and/or improved approaches to the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats since MoP10 (December 2018)?

Development of the Working Group for the Conservations of the Yellow Sea intertidal and associated coastal wetlands project by IUCN and partners has been an important initiative.

Q50

Respondent skipped this question

RQ44. (INGO, TFWG, Sec.) Please provide information on the development of a list of threatened migratory waterbird populations in the EAAF in which you have been involved.

Q51

Respondent skipped this question

RQ45. (Govt) Which populations of threatened migratory waterbirds are protected under legislation in your country?

Q52

No

RQ46. (Partners, TFWG) Has your organization been involved in taking actions to reduce direct threats to migratory waterbirds? If yes, please provide some examples.

Q53

Respondent skipped this question

RQ47. (Partners, TFWG) Please outline the contribution you have made to the development and implementation of Threatened Species Action Plans.

Q54

No

RQ48. (Partners, TFWG) Has your organization been involved in any program(s) to assess changes in the status of populations of threatened waterbirds? If yes, please provide details.

Q55

Respondent skipped this question

RQ49. (Partners, TFWG) What has been your involvement in the development and implementation of Regional Action Plans?

Q56

Respondent skipped this question

RQ50. (Govt, TF on Task Force on Illegal Hunting, Taking and Trade of Migratory Waterbirds) What mechanisms are in place to reduce and, as far as possible, eliminate, illegal hunting, take and trade of migratory waterbirds?

Q57

Respondent skipped this question

RQ51. (Govt) In your country, what are the current key national legislation and policy instruments that have provisions that cover the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats?

Q58

Respondent skipped this question

RQ52. (Govt) In your country, what are the current multilateral regional and bilateral agreements and other regional mechanisms that include provisions on the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats?

Q59

RQ53. (Partners, TF/WG) Please provide any suggestions you have on how existing multilateral regional and bilateral agreements, and other regional mechanisms, could be strengthened to deliver better outcomes for migratory waterbirds.

Between the MOP's of the EAAFP activity as an EAAFP Taskforce has been minimal or nil.

As a convenor from NZ I've come to the realisation that the advantage being a Govt partner from outside the Yellow Sea is outweighed by the distance from the Yellow Sea and the difficulty I have in maintaining and enhancing the necessary personal relationships with partners who are more directly involved in activities in the Yellow Sea. The Yellow Sea is a core bottleneck in the EAAF for a wide range of species and it needs an EAAFP Taskforce structure that is able to support conservation efforts here effectively

Concurrently the IUCN and the three states adjoining the Yellow Sea have developed a "Working Group for the Conservation of the Yellow Sea intertidal and associated coastal wetlands". This working group which has the direct engagement of Govt Partners from the Yellow Sea Nations is a step forward compared to what the EAAFP Taskforce is able to achieve.

While in my view the Yellow Sea Taskforce has as a group been ineffective in providing leadership to conserve migratory water bird resources in the Yellow Sea there has been a lot of highly effective domestic and bilateral activity which has been extremely positive for migratory waterbirds.

The efforts currently engaged in the Yellow Sea Taskforce could usefully be applied to supporting the IUCN and range states Working Group.
