

#3

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** EAAFP MOP11 Reporting Template (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 05, 2023 11:23:31 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 05, 2023 11:47:58 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:24:27  
**IP Address:** 39.110.201.130

---

Page 2: General Information

**Q1**

Name of Reporting Group

Wild Bird Society of Japan

---

**Q2**

**International Non-Governmental Organizations (INGO)**

Reporting Group

---

**Q3**

Designated EAAFP Focal Point

Name and title	<b>Mr. Seiji Hayama</b>
Affiliation	<b>Wild Bird Society of Japan</b>
P.O. Box/Street address	<b>Maruwa Bldg.2F Nishigotanda 3-9-23 Shinagawa city Tokyo Japan</b>
Postal code	<b>141-0031</b>
E-mail address	<b>hayama@wbsj.org</b>
Telephone	<b>:81-3-5436-2633</b>
Website	<b><a href="https://www.wbsj.org/">https://www.wbsj.org/</a></b>

---

**Q4**

Additional Designated EAAFP Focal Point (Optional)

Name and title	<b>Dr. Hironobu Tajiri</b>
Affiliation	<b>Wild Bird Society of Japan</b>
P.O. Box/Street address	<b>Maruwa Bldg.2F Nishigotanda 3-9-23 Shinagawa city Tokyo Japan</b>
Postal code	<b>141-0031</b>
E-mail address	<b>tajiri@wbsj.org</b>
Telephone	<b>:81-3-5436-2633</b>
Website	<b><a href="https://www.wbsj.org/">https://www.wbsj.org/</a></b>

---

**Q5**

**Respondent skipped this question**

Additional Designated EAAFP Focal Point (Optional)

---

**Q6**

Report compiler

Name and title	<b>Mr. Seiji Hayama</b>
Affiliation	<b>Wild Bird Society of Japan</b>
P.O. Box/Street address	<b>Maruwa Bldg.2F Nishigotanda 3-9-23 Shinagawa city Tokyo Japan</b>
Postal code	<b>141-0031</b>
E-mail address	<b>hayama@wbsj.org</b>
Telephone	<b>81-35436-2633</b>
Website	<b><a href="https://www.wbsj.org/">https://www.wbsj.org/</a></b>

---

Page 3: Reporting on the implementation of the EAAFP Strategic Plan 2018 - 2022

**Q7**

**Respondent skipped this question**

RQ1. (Govt) Do you have a publicly accessible list of internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds in your country? If yes, please provide the web link or the reference in the below box. If not, would you like assistance from other Partners to develop such a list (please let us know your opinion in the box right below)?

---

**Q8** **Respondent skipped this question**

RQ2. (Govt) Have any additional internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds been identified in your country (for background, see EAA Flyway Network Sites Overview Report 2013)? If yes, please provide details on these sites.

---

**Q9** **No**

RQ3. (Non-Government Partners) Have you documented any additional internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds in the EAAF (see EAA Flyway Network Sites Overview Report 2013)? If yes, please provide details on these sites.

---

**Q10** **Respondent skipped this question**

RQ4. (Govt) Have high priority candidate sites been identified for potential nomination to join the Flyway Site Network? If yes, please provide details on these sites. If not, would you like assistance from other Partners?

---

**Q11** **Respondent skipped this question**

RQ5. (Govt) Have any additional sites been nominated for the Flyway Site Network since MoP10 (December 2018)? If yes or planned, please provide the names of these sites.

---

**Q12** **Yes,**

RQ6. (INGO, Corporate) Have you supported Government Partners with their identification of high priority candidate sites for the potential nomination of the new Flyway Site Network? If yes, please provide details of your support and the associated sites.

Additional information::  
Additional information: Collected and shared wintering population of Hooded and White-naped crane for registration of new sites

---

**Q13** **Respondent skipped this question**

RQ7. (Govt) How many additional Flyway Network Sites do you anticipate there will be in your country by 2025?

---

Page 5

**Q14** **Respondent skipped this question**

RQ8. (Govt) Which Flyway Network Sites (FNS) in your country have a Management Plan and when is it due to be updated?

---

**Q15****Respondent skipped this question**

RQ9. (Govt, INGO, IGO) Please provide examples of how the “Flyway Site Network” brand is being recognized.

---

**Q16****Yes,**

RQ10. (Govt, INGO, IGO) Have any public consultation processes been implemented when a site of international importance for migratory waterbirds could be adversely impacted by a proposed development? If yes, please provide brief details on the site/s and if the development was approved.

Additional information::

At reclaimed land near the Osaka Nanko Bird Sanctuary(EAAF076) used by migratory water birds There are plan for EXPO and now discussing. Near the site Not flyway site but Ramsar site “Nagura Amparu” site1550 there are plan of Golf and resort.

---

**Q17****Respondent skipped this question**

RQ11. (Govt, INGO, IGO) Please provide brief details on any sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds that may be adversely impacted by a proposed development and the assessment process that was used or is anticipated to be applied.

---

**Q18****Yes,**

RQ12. (Govt, INGO) In your country, are there examples of local communities at Flyway Network sites that are dependent on the sites natural resources to support subsistence livelihoods? If yes, please provide details on the site/s and the use of natural resources.

Additional information::

Both Osaka Nanko Bird sanctuary and Nagura Anparu , Environmental impact assessment in accordance by-local laws.

---

**Q19****Yes,**

RQ13. (Govt, INGO, Sec.) Are you aware of any Flyway Network Sites or other sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds that are currently under threat? If yes, please provide details.

Additional information::

On the reed bed area where is adjacent to Hotokenuma-pond, a Ramsar site, there is a development plan to construct a solar power plant. WBSJ, local NGO, the Ministry of Environment and the developer have worked together to ask the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry to allow change of planning area.

---

**Q20****Respondent skipped this question**

RQ14. (Sec.) Please provide a list of new Partners since the last MoP (December 2018).

---

**Q21****No**

RQ15. (Partners, TF/WG) Does your country/organisation have a CEPA Program addressing migratory waterbirds and internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds? If yes, please provide brief details of the program.

---

**Q22****Yes**

RQ16. (Partners, TF/WG) Has your country/organisation made use of the EAAFP CEPA Action Plan 2019-2024 when planning and implementing the CEPA activities?

---

**Q23****Applicable,**

RQ17. (Govt, INGO, Corporate) What CEPA activities have taken place at Flyway Network Sites and with which groups? If applicable (under a Sister Site agreement), please describe what have you done and who have you worked with.

Additional information (list of events and/or news/report links)::

We worked with local people to hold wild geese observation events at Utonai-ko (EAAF072)

---

**Q24****Schools/students,**

RQ18. (Partners) Has your country/organisation developed, and/or been implementing awareness-raising programs, particularly at Flyway Network Sites, with the following groups (check all that apply)?

Additional information (please provide a detailed description of the program(s) including target groups, aims, and major achievements)::

We guided students attending elementary/junior high schools to observe waterbirds at Utonai-ko (EAAF072) and Tokyo Port Wild Bird Park (EAAF063).

---

**Q25****Yes,**

RQ19. (Partners) Has your country/organisation hosted events for World Migratory Bird Day, World Wetlands Day or other international awareness-raising events since the last MOP (December 2018)?

Additional information (list of events and any news/report links)::

We hosted some events for WWD at Utonai-ko (EAAF072) and Furen-ko and Syunkuni-tai (EAAF099).

---

**Q26****Social media (e.g., Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, Weibo, YouTube, and others)**

RQ20. (Partners, TF/WG) Has your organisation/group been engaging the public regularly through any media channels, including social media, to promote the conservation of migratory waterbirds and the wetlands they use? If yes, please specify the type of media channels by marking boxes that apply.

Additional information (links to media channels of your organization/group, the number of posts, and the number of views)::

The URL of our web site for conservation activities is below (in Japanese); <https://www.wbsj.org/activity/conservation/>

---

**Q27**

RQ21. (Govt, INGO, TF/WG) Has there been any training or capacity building delivered to stakeholders involved in the conservation of migratory birds and wetlands? If yes, please specify the audience/participants by marking the boxes that apply.

**Citizen,**

Additional information (please provide the number of events and participants, and describe any materials or other resources about the capacity building):

We trained some local people so they could assist our events for public.

**Q28**

RQ22. (Govt, INGO, TF/WG) Please add below if your country/organisation has any other information regarding CEPA to report.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7

**Q29**

RQ23. (Govt) Is there a program in your country to monitor migratory waterbird numbers? If yes, please provide details on the program, the role of volunteer counters and the monitoring efforts since MoP10 (December 2018).

Respondent skipped this question

**Q30**

RQ24. (INGO) In what countries is your organisation involved in migratory waterbird and/or site monitoring (select all that apply)? Please provide details on the monitoring program(s) and monitoring efforts since MoP10 (December 2018).

Japan

**Q31**

RQ25. (Govt, INGO, TF/WG, Sec.) Please report briefly on data management in relation to migratory waterbird population estimates, trends and distributions.

Moe-Japan conducted monitoring 1000 and data are publicly available.

**Q32**

RQ26. (Partners, TF/WG, Sec.) Please report on your contribution to the migratory waterbird Conservation Status Review.

Respondent skipped this question

**Q33**

RQ27. (Partners, Monitoring TF, Sec.) If you are aware of significant new information on internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds, please provide brief details.

Respondent skipped this question

**Q34**

Respondent skipped this question

RQ28. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please provide details on key research on climate change impacts on migratory waterbirds and wetlands in the EAAF, published since MoP 10 (December 2018). (Please provide the web links if available online or reference for relevant publications)

---

**Q35**

Respondent skipped this question

RQ29. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please provide brief information on areas of research programs since the last MoP (2018) about improving conservation and sustainable management outcomes at internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds.

---

**Q36**

Respondent skipped this question

RQ30. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please give examples of how knowledge generated through research programs on improving conservation and sustainable management outcomes is being applied at internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds.

---

**Q37**

Respondent skipped this question

RQ31. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please provide brief details on the development and application of best practice guidelines for waterbird and habitat conservation, including the application of traditional knowledge, published/made available since MoP10 (December 2018)?

---

**Q38**

Respondent skipped this question

RQ32. (Sec.) What are the best practice guidelines that are available on the EAAFP website?

---

Page 8

**Q39**

Respondent skipped this question

RQ33. (Sec.) Please provide updates on identifying/developing internet-based approaches for capacity building for migratory waterbird conservation.

---

**Q40**

No

RQ34. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you been involved in identifying/developing capacity building materials and opportunities? If yes, please provide some details.

---

<p><b>Q41</b></p> <p>RQ35. (Partners, TsC, TFWG, Sec.) Have you implemented activities to share skills building, tools and experience?</p>	<p><b>No</b></p>
<p><b>Q42</b></p> <p>RQ36. (Partners, TsC, TFWG, Sec.) Please provide feedback on the use you have made of capacity building materials and activities for migratory waterbirds and the management of their habitat?</p>	<p><b>Respondent skipped this question</b></p>
<p><b>Q43</b></p> <p>RQ37. (Partners, TsC, TFWG, Sec.) Have you considered a training needs assessment in projects you have developed, funded, and/or implemented since MoP10 (December 2018)?If yes, please provide some additional information.</p>	<p><b>No</b></p>
<p><b>Q44</b></p> <p>RQ38. (Partners, TsC, TFWG, Sec.) Have you used the EAAFP online technical training materials for Flyway Site management? Please provide some additional information on the usefulness of the materials.</p>	<p><b>No</b></p>
<p><b>Q45</b></p> <p>RQ39. (Partners, TsC, TFWG, Sec.) Have you been able to participate in any Meetings of Partner Focal Points?If yes, have any new collaborations with other Partners been developed from the meeting/s? Please provide details.</p>	<p><b>Yes,</b></p> <p>Additional information::</p> <p>We participated the meetings for the determination of national action plans. But we didn't start any new collaborative projects.</p>
<p><b>Q46</b></p> <p>RQ40. (Partners, TsC, TFWG, Sec.) Have you been able to prepare your Partner report for the MoP? Have you found any difficulties in producing your report?</p>	<p><b>Respondent skipped this question</b></p>
<p><b>Q47</b></p> <p>RQ41. (Partners, TFWG) Please provide details you have on corporate engagement at internationally important sites and in programs to develop positive outcomes for migratory waterbirds and their habitats.</p>	<p><b>Respondent skipped this question</b></p>



**Q48**

RQ42. (Partners, TF/WG) Please provide brief details on your transboundary involvement in international collaborative initiatives for threatened migratory waterbirds.

Not water birds but we planed of monitoring scheme of migratory land birds

---

**Q49**

Respondent skipped this question

RQ43. (Partners, TF/WG) What do you consider to be the key innovative and/or improved approaches to the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats since MoP10 (December 2018)?

---

**Q50**

Respondent skipped this question

RQ44. (INGO, TF/WG, Sec.) Please provide information on the development of a list of threatened migratory waterbird populations in the EAAF in which you have been involved.

---

**Q51**

Respondent skipped this question

RQ45. (Govt) Which populations of threatened migratory waterbirds are protected under legislation in your country?

---

**Q52**

Yes,

RQ46. (Partners, TF/WG) Has your organization been involved in taking actions to reduce direct threats to migratory waterbirds? If yes, please provide some examples.

Additional information::

Avoiding the impact of wind energy facilities on migratory birds.

---

**Q53**

Respondent skipped this question

RQ47. (Partners, TF/WG) Please outline the contribution you have made to the development and implementation of Threatened Species Action Plans.

---

**Q54**

Yes,

RQ48. (Partners, TF/WG) Has your organization been involved in any program(s) to assess changes in the status of populations of threatened waterbirds? If yes, please provide details.

Additional information::

Domestic distribution surveys during the breeding and overwintering seasons.

---

**Q55**

Respondent skipped this question

RQ49. (Partners, TF/WG) What has been your involvement in the development and implementation of Regional Action Plans?

---

**Q56**

Respondent skipped this question

RQ50. (Govt, TF on Task Force on Illegal Hunting, Taking and Trade of Migratory Waterbirds) What mechanisms are in place to reduce and, as far as possible, eliminate, illegal hunting, take and trade of migratory waterbirds?

---

**Q57**

Respondent skipped this question

RQ51. (Govt) In your country, what are the current key national legislation and policy instruments that have provisions that cover the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats?

---

**Q58**

Respondent skipped this question

RQ52. (Govt) In your country, what are the current multilateral regional and bilateral agreements and other regional mechanisms that include provisions on the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats?

---

**Q59**

Respondent skipped this question

RQ53. (Partners, TF/WG) Please provide any suggestions you have on how existing multilateral regional and bilateral agreements, and other regional mechanisms, could be strengthened to deliver better outcomes for migratory waterbirds.

---