

#22

COMPLETE

Collector: EAAFP MOP11 Reporting Template (Web Link)
Started: Friday, February 03, 2023 11:04:06 AM
Last Modified: Friday, February 03, 2023 12:59:00 PM
Time Spent: 01:54:53
IP Address: 121.202.140.114

Page 2: General Information

Q1

Name of Reporting Group

WWF

Q2

International Non-Governmental Organizations (INGO)

Reporting Group

Q3

Designated EAAFP Focal Point

Name and title

Mr. Xianji Wen

Affiliation

WWF-Hong Kong

P.O. Box/Street address

Mai Po Nature Reserve, Yuen Long, NT, Hong Kong

E-mail address

xjwen@wwf.org.hk

Telephone

+852-24716212

Website

www.wwf.org.hk

Q4

Respondent skipped this question

Additional Designated EAAFP Focal Point (Optional)

Q5

Respondent skipped this question

Additional Designated EAAFP Focal Point (Optional)

Q6

Report compiler

Name and title

Mr. Xianji Wen

Affiliation

WWF-Hong Kong

P.O. Box/Street address

Mai Po Nature Reserve, Yuen Long, NT, Hong Kong

E-mail address

xjwen@wwf.org.hk

Telephone

+852-24716212

Website

www.wwf.org.hk

Page 3: Reporting on the implementation of the EAAFP Strategic Plan 2018 - 2022

Q7**Respondent skipped this question**

RQ1. (Govt) Do you have a publicly accessible list of internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds in your country? If yes, please provide the web link or the reference in the below box. If not, would you like assistance from other Partners to develop such a list (please let us know your opinion in the box right below)?

Q8**Respondent skipped this question**

RQ2. (Govt) Have any additional internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds been identified in your country (for background, see EAA Flyway Network Sites Overview Report 2013)? If yes, please provide details on these sites.

Q9**No**

RQ3. (Non-Government Partners) Have you documented any additional internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds in the EAAF (see EAA Flyway Network Sites Overview Report 2013)? If yes, please provide details on these sites.

Q10**Respondent skipped this question**

RQ4. (Govt) Have high priority candidate sites been identified for potential nomination to join the Flyway Site Network? If yes, please provide details on these sites. If not, would you like assistance from other Partners?

Q11

Respondent skipped this question

RQ5. (Govt) Have any additional sites been nominated for the Flyway Site Network since MoP10 (December 2018)? If yes or planned, please provide the names of these sites.

Q12

No

RQ6. (INGO, Corporate) Have you supported Government Partners with their identification of high priority candidate sites for the potential nomination of the new Flyway Site Network? If yes, please provide details of your support and the associated sites.

Q13

Respondent skipped this question

RQ7. (Govt) How many additional Flyway Network Sites do you anticipate there will be in your country by 2025?

Page 5

Q14

Respondent skipped this question

RQ8. (Govt) Which Flyway Network Sites (FNS) in your country have a Management Plan and when is it due to be updated?

Q15

Respondent skipped this question

RQ9. (Govt, INGO, IGO) Please provide examples of how the "Flyway Site Network" brand is being recognized.

Q16

Not known

RQ10. (Govt, INGO, IGO) Have any public consultation processes been implemented when a site of international importance for migratory waterbirds could be adversely impacted by a proposed development? If yes, please provide brief details on the site/s and if the development was approved.

Q17

Respondent skipped this question

RQ11. (Govt, INGO, IGO) Please provide brief details on any sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds that may be adversely impacted by a proposed development and the assessment process that was used or is anticipated to be applied.

Q18**Not known**

RQ12. (Govt, INGO) In your country, are there examples of local communities at Flyway Network sites that are dependent on the sites natural resources to support subsistence livelihoods? If yes, please provide details on the site/s and the use of natural resources.

Q19**No**

RQ13. (Govt, INGO, Sec.) Are you aware of any Flyway Network Sites or other sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds that are currently under threat? If yes, please provide details.

Q20**Respondent skipped this question**

RQ14. (Sec.) Please provide a list of new Partners since the last MoP (December 2018).

Page 6

Q21**Yes,**

RQ15. (Partners, TFWG) Does your country/organisation have a CEPA Program addressing migratory waterbirds and internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds? If yes, please provide brief details of the program.

Additional information (website links if any)::

<https://www.wwf.org.hk/en/education/>

Q22**Planned**

RQ16. (Partners, TFWG) Has your country/organisation made use of the EAAFP CEPA Action Plan 2019-2024 when planning and implementing the CEPA activities?

Q23**Applicable,**

RQ17. (Govt, INGO, Corporate) What CEPA activities have taken place at Flyway Network Sites and with which groups? If applicable (under a Sister Site agreement), please describe what have you done and who have you worked with.

Additional information (list of events and/or news/report links)::

https://www.eaaflyway.net/2022_birds_and_schools/ Under the Incheon-Hong Kong Sister Site agreement on Songdo Tidal Flat and Mai Po Inner Deep Bay for Black-faced Spoonbill conservation, the first EAAFP "Birds & Schools" virtual students exchange activity was conducted on 20 December 2022 between schools from Hong Kong and Incheon. CEPA experiences on Black-faced Spoonbill conservation were shared in the Incheon-Hong Kong international East Asian – Australasian Black-faced Spoonbill Conservation Cooperation Forum since 2021.

Q24

RQ18. (Partners) Has your country/organisation developed, and/or been implementing awareness-raising programs, particularly at Flyway Network Sites, with the following groups (check all that apply)?

Schools/students,

Additional information (please provide a detailed description of the program(s) including target groups, aims, and major achievements):

Regular visit programmes at Mai Po Nature Reserve and online education activities for schools, general public and corporate sectors; events for community engagement. Partners include the Education Bureau and Home Affairs Department of Hong Kong SAR Government, different corporations and community groups in Hong Kong. On average, around 25,000 individuals visited Mai Po Nature Reserve annually. WWF-Hong Kong Mai Po visit programmes: <https://www.wwf.org.hk/en/wetlands/booking/> Some awareness-raising activities in Chongming Dongtan NNR, including bird watching, mudflat cleaning, citizen science and volunteer activities for public and local community are also organized.

Q25

RQ19. (Partners) Has your country/organisation hosted events for World Migratory Bird Day, World Wetlands Day or other international awareness-raising events since the last MOP (December 2018)?

Yes,

Additional information (list of events and any news/report links):

<https://www.wwf.org.hk/en/?25044/World-Migratory-Bird-Day-WWF-collaborates-with-EAAPF-to-Launch-an-Updated-Education-Pack-Lolos-Flying-Journey> Launch of the new edition of "Lolo's Flying Journey" Education Pack for school sector and community, and organizing associated multiplier training activities across EAAF to echo WMBD 2022.

Q26

RQ20. (Partners, TF/WG) Has your organisation/group been engaging the public regularly through any media channels, including social media, to promote the conservation of migratory waterbirds and the wetlands they use? If yes, please specify the type of media channels by marking boxes that apply.

Newsletter of your organization/group,

Additional information (links to media channels of your organization/group, the number of posts, and the number of views):

Website: <https://www.wwf.org.hk/en/wetlands/> Facebook: <https://www.facebook.com/wwfhongkong> Instagram: <https://www.instagram.com/wwfhk/> YouTube: <https://www.youtube.com/@wwfhongkong>

Q27

RQ21. (Govt, INGO, TF/WG) Has there been any training or capacity building delivered to stakeholders involved in the conservation of migratory birds and wetlands? If yes, please specify the audience/participants by marking the boxes that apply.

Site managers,

Additional information (please provide the number of events and participants, and describe any materials or other resources about the capacity building):

WWF-Hong Kong organized about 10-15 wetland management training courses/study tours for the site manager and government officers at Mai Po each year from 2018-2021, with participants of about 150 persons each year. More than 20 capacity building programmes for educators (both local and overseas) were conducted 2018-2022, covering more than 600 people. WWF-China, working with SFGA and Chongming Dongtan NNR, have organized 3 trainings for wetland management at Chongming Dongtan NNR in 2019. And one training for bird monitoring was done at Yancheng NNR in 2019, working together with Wild Bird Society of Taipei and Yancheng NNR. Total participants were around 120. Training manuals for Habitat Management and Habitat Monitoring, R-METT and RAWES in Chinese have been developed to facilitate the training in the future.

Q28

RQ22. (Govt, INGO, TF/WG) Please add below if your country/organisation has any other information regarding CEPA to report.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7

Q29

RQ23. (Govt) Is there a program in your country to monitor migratory waterbird numbers? If yes, please provide details on the program, the role of volunteer counters and the monitoring efforts since MoP10 (December 2018).

Respondent skipped this question

Q30

RQ24. (INGO) In what countries is your organisation involved in migratory waterbird and/or site monitoring (select all that apply)? Please provide details on the monitoring program(s) and monitoring efforts since MoP10 (December 2018).

China,

Additional information::

WWF Hong Kong regularly conduct monitoring programme in Mai Po WWF China regularly conduct monitoring at Beibayao wetland inside Chongming Dongtan NNR. WWF China also supported the Waterbird Survey in the Middle and Lower Yangtze in winter 2020-2021.

Q31

Respondent skipped this question

RQ25. (Govt, INGO, TF/WG, Sec.) Please report briefly on data management in relation to migratory waterbird population estimates, trends and distributions.

Q32

Respondent skipped this question

RQ26. (Partners, TF/WG, Sec.) Please report on your contribution to the migratory waterbird Conservation Status Review.

Q33

Respondent skipped this question

RQ27. (Partners, Monitoring TF, Sec.) If you are aware of significant new information on internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds, please provide brief details.

Q34

RQ28. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please provide details on key research on climate change impacts on migratory waterbirds and wetlands in the EAAF, published since MoP 10 (December 2018). (Please provide the web links if available online or reference for relevant publications)

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0239945>

Q35

RQ29. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please provide brief information on areas of research programs since the last MoP (2018) about improving conservation and sustainable management outcomes at internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds.

vegetation management in Mai Po

Q36

RQ30. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please give examples of how knowledge generated through research programs on improving conservation and sustainable management outcomes is being applied at internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds.

Control of vegetation in high tide roost by flooding immediately after manually cutting is one of the best practices and is now applied at Mai Po Nature Reserve.

Q37

Respondent skipped this question

RQ31. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please provide brief details on the development and application of best practice guidelines for waterbird and habitat conservation, including the application of traditional knowledge, published/made available since MoP10 (December 2018)?

Q38

Respondent skipped this question

RQ32. (Sec.) What are the best practice guidelines that are available on the EAAFP website?

Page 8

Q39

Respondent skipped this question

RQ33. (Sec.) Please provide updates on identifying/developing internet-based approaches for capacity building for migratory waterbird conservation.

Q40

Yes,

RQ34. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you been involved in identifying/developing capacity building materials and opportunities? If yes, please provide some details.

Additional information::

WWF-Hong Kong have developed a comprehensive wetland management training manual and updated the manual every several years. WWF-China have developed training manuals for Habitat Management and Habitat Monitoring, also translated and using local cases to develop the manuals for tools like R-METT and RAWES.

Q41

Yes,

RQ35. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you implemented activities to share skills building, tools and experience?

Additional information::

<https://www.wwf.org.hk/en/wetlands/deep-bay/training/> Mai Po is used as the case study of habitat management and education in wetland management training programme. All experiences, tools and knowledge were shared with participants of wetland training programme.

Q42

RQ36. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Please provide feedback on the use you have made of capacity building materials and activities for migratory waterbirds and the management of their habitat?

WWF Hong Kong's Wetland Management Training Programme were very useful. Many of the skills and experiences they learned were applied to the management of their own sites.

Q43

Yes,

RQ37. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you considered a training needs assessment in projects you have developed, funded, and/or implemented since MoP10 (December 2018)? If yes, please provide some additional information.

Additional information::

An online training needs on wetland management survey was conducted in 2019

Q44**Planned**

RQ38. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you used the EAAFP online technical training materials for Flyway Site management? Please provide some additional information on the usefulness of the materials.

Q45**Planned**

RQ39. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you been able to participate in any Meetings of Partner Focal Points? If yes, have any new collaborations with other Partners been developed from the meeting/s? Please provide details.

Q46**Respondent skipped this question**

RQ40. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you been able to prepare your Partner report for the MoP? Have you found any difficulties in producing your report?

Q47

RQ41. (Partners, TF/WG) Please provide details you have on corporate engagement at internationally important sites and in programs to develop positive outcomes for migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

WWF Hong Kong have developed different corporate programs. Mai Po is a popular site to engage corporates. Many corporates are now providing financial supports for WWF Hong Kong to manage Mai Po. The staff of many corporates also join the field work.

Page 9

Q48

RQ42. (Partners, TF/WG) Please provide brief details on your transboundary involvement in international collaborative initiatives for threatened migratory waterbirds.

<https://www.wwf.org.hk/en/wetlands/flyway/>

WWF Hong Kong have provided onsite technical and financial supports to different sites

Q49**Respondent skipped this question**

RQ43. (Partners, TF/WG) What do you consider to be the key innovative and/or improved approaches to the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats since MoP10 (December 2018)?

Q50**Respondent skipped this question**

RQ44. (INGO, TF/WG, Sec.) Please provide information on the development of a list of threatened migratory waterbird populations in the EAAF in which you have been involved.

Q51

Respondent skipped this question

RQ45. (Govt) Which populations of threatened migratory waterbirds are protected under legislation in your country?

Q52

Yes,

RQ46. (Partners, TF/WG) Has your organization been involved in taking actions to reduce direct threats to migratory waterbirds? If yes, please provide some examples.

Additional information::

remove invasive species, control of feral dogs, build spetic tanks for local community, desiltation etc.

Q53

RQ47. (Partners, TF/WG) Please outline the contribution you have made to the development and implementation of Threatened Species Action Plans.

give comments to Black-faced Spoonbill action plan

Q54

Planned,

RQ48. (Partners, TF/WG) Has your organization been involved in any program(s) to assess changes in the status of populations of threatened waterbirds? If yes, please provide details.

Additional information::

Some staff of WWF Hong Kong are the IUCN SSC members and will help assess

Q55

Respondent skipped this question

RQ49. (Partners, TF/WG) What has been your involvement in the development and implementation of Regional Action Plans?

Q56

Respondent skipped this question

RQ50. (Govt, TF on Task Force on Illegal Hunting, Taking and Trade of Migratory Waterbirds) What mechanisms are in place to reduce and, as far as possible, eliminate, illegal hunting, take and trade of migratory waterbirds?

Q57

Respondent skipped this question

RQ51. (Govt) In your country, what are the current key national legislation and policy instruments that have provisions that cover the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats?

Q58

Respondent skipped this question

RQ52. (Govt) In your country, what are the current multilateral regional and bilateral agreements and other regional mechanisms that include provisions on the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats?

Q59

Respondent skipped this question

RQ53. (Partners, TFWG) Please provide any suggestions you have on how existing multilateral regional and bilateral agreements, and other regional mechanisms, could be strengthened to deliver better outcomes for migratory waterbirds.
