

#31

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, September 15, 2018 10:21:42 AM
Last Modified: Saturday, November 03, 2018 5:11:09 PM
Time Spent: Over a month
IP Address: 118.34.106.13

Page 2: A. Institutional Information

Q1 1. Name of Partner, Working Group or Task Force**Partner (Gov)** Republic of Korea**Q2** 2. Type of Partner**National Governments****Q3** 3. Name, title and contact details of designated contact person(s) Person 1

Name	Eunsoo, Shim
Position	Deputy Director, Ministry of Environment
Email	es225@korea.kr

Q4 Person 2 (if needed)**Respondent skipped this question****Q5** Person 3 (if needed)**Respondent skipped this question****Q6** Person 4 (if needed)**Respondent skipped this question****Q7** 4. Name, title and contact details of the person completing this form**Respondent skipped this question**

Page 3: B. General Summary of Implementation: Progress and Challenges

Q8 1. What have been the most successful aspects of your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

a	strengthened ties for international cooperation and for sharing information in Asian regions
b	increased interests among the stakeholders (national and local governments, private sectors) related in migratory birds and their habitats

Q9 2. What have been the main challenges in your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- a **securing sufficient funding for EAAFP activities**
- b **resolving gaps in participation in the partnership's activities (as a perspective of the national governments, each regional government has different level of participation in EAAFP activities)**

Q10 3. What are the future priorities for your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- a **securing funding**
- b **awareness increasing**

Q11 4. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from the EAAFP Secretariat?

support for FNS related activities and programs such as FNS manager workshop and training programs

Q12 5. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from other Partners, Working Groups or Task Forces?

funding support which is vital to facilitate the works for EAAFP to achieve the partnership's goal and objectives

Q13 6. Do you have any other general comments on implementation of the Partnership?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q14 1.1.1 Have you been involved in developing a list of internationally important sites to support the life cycles of the Flyway's waterbirds? (Secretariat, WGs, INGOs and/or Monitoring Task Force)

Respondent skipped this question

Q15 1.1.2 Have you been involved in prioritization of the above sites (1.1) for nomination in the FSN and to review/revise this list at each successive MoP? (Secretariat / Consultant / Monitoring Task Force)

Respondent skipped this question

Q16 1.1.3a How many new Flyway Network Sites have you been involved in designating since the last reporting period? (National Government Partners)

Please indicate number in optional text box below

Not applicable,

Optional text box for further information:

Hwaseong Wetlands is in the process to be designated as a new FNS.

Q17 1.1.3b How many new Flyway Network Sites do you intend to designate between MOP10 and MOP11? (National Government Partners)

Please indicate number in optional text box below

Optional text box for further information:

The Ministry of Environment is actively considering the additional designation of new FNSs. According to the plan at this point of time, 3 FNSs will be additionally designated as FNSs. To this end, the Ministry will make a list of the candidate sites (by Oct.2019) and consult with local governments (by Dec. 2019).

Page 5: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q18 1.2.1 Have you helped to make available management guidelines and case studies to enhance the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats, emphasizing participatory management processes and benefits to local communities? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, with support from all Partners)

Yes

Q19 1.2.2a During the reporting period, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds have you been involved in developing, implementing, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs)

Yes,

Optional text box for further information:

It is hard to indicate the exact number of management plans because to tell the specific number of management plan, first the Ministry needs to compile the information provided by the local governments. To recognize the number of management plans, it is required to review the whole projects regarding FNS by the local governments.

Q20 1.2.2b Between now and MOP11, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds do you intend to develop, implement, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs)

Yes (please indicate the number of management plans in optional text box below)

Q21 1.2.3 Have you helped to develop collaboration with other international initiatives relating to the management of migratory waterbird habitat? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners)

Yes

Q22 1.2.4 Have you helped to develop model projects at Flyway Network Sites to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs, and contributing to sound integration of wetland biodiversity conservation and sustainable development that benefits local communities? (National Government Partners)

Yes,

Optional text box for further information:

The government of Korea has provided various programs to protect the ecosystem and to benefit local communities; for example; Ecosystem Conservation Fund, Cooperation Charge on Conservation of Marine Ecosystem, and Biodiversity Management Contract system. In addition, protected areas in Korea has been newly designated and expanded under the name of Wetland Protected Area, Special Protected Area of Wild Animal and Plant, and UNESCO Biosphere Reserve.

Page 6: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q23 1.3.1 Are you working to mainstream migratory waterbird conservation into national policies, plans, and programmes, facilitated by National Partnerships? (National Government Partners)

Yes

Q24 1.3.2 Have you been working to adapt and make available guidelines and case studies on development and impact assessment processes relevant to network sites and their catchments? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners)

Yes

Page 7: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q25 2.4.1 Are you using the flyway-wide CEPA strategy at national and site levels as ongoing guidance for the awareness and communication activities of the Flyway Partnership? (All Partners)

Yes

Q26 2.4.2 Have you been involved in the production of CEPA resources and have made these available to the Secretariat for distribution more widely? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, supported by all Partners)

No

Page 8: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q27 2.5.1 Are your Partnership activities being implemented in line with the CEPA Strategy?

Yes

Q28 2.5.2a Are you working to support the development and strengthening of national and sub-regional partnerships as mechanisms for delivery of the EAAFP Implementation Strategy? **Yes**

Q29 2.5.2b If there are national and/or sub-regional partnerships, please indicate the number of meetings that have been held since the last reporting period

Number of national or sub-regional meetings held ,

Optional text box for further information:

8 meetings. /// Wetland Manager Workshop has been held every year. For the last two years, the workshop was held two times, and separate regional council and consultative body are also set up in some cases, but the number of those councils and consultative body can be answered better by local governments.

Page 9: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q30 3.6.1 Have you been involved in collaboration and integration activities to increase assessment and monitoring programmes to provide scientifically sound information on the status and trends of migratory waterbird populations? **Yes**

Q31 3.6.2 Have you been involved in developing wetland assessment programmes for any of the Task Force priority regions to provide information on the status of migratory waterbird habitats? **Yes**

Q32 3.6.3 Have you been involved in gathering updated information on the status and threats to internationally important sites and to Flyway Network sites in particular? **Yes**

Q33 3.6.4 Have you been involved in identifying the key threatening processes to migratory waterbirds in the EAAF, and compiling technical briefs for the EAAFP website to illustrate examples of best practice mitigation measures? **In progress**

Page 10: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q34 3.7.1 Have you been involved in providing input or analyzing count data to identify internationally important sites and gaps for migratory waterbirds in the Flyway and inform conservation measures? (Wetlands International and BirdLife International, with input from National Government Partners and Working Groups) **Yes**

Q35 3.7.2 Have you been involved in surveys to prioritize knowledge gaps at internationally important sites and to progressively address those gaps? (National Government Partners, EAAFP WGs) **Yes**

Q36 3.7.3 Have you been involved in making data available on internationally important sites and share that data amongst Partners, such as through the EAAFP website? (Secretariat, other Partners) **Yes**

Page 11: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q37 3.8.1 Have you been involved in implementing international collaborative research projects for migratory waterbirds to better understand the connectivity across the Flyway and inform development of the Flyway Site Network? (All Partners) **Yes**

Q38 3.8.2 Have you been involved in improving the knowledge base on migratory waterbirds for the three priority subregions, i.e. the Yellow Sea and Amur/Heilong Basin? (Task Forces for these regions, including relevant Government Partners) **Yes**

Q39 3.8.3 Have you been involved in reviewing and developing the Migratory Waterbird Marking Protocols for migratory waterbirds? (Task Force on Colour Marking) **Yes**

Page 12: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q40 3.9.1 Have you been involved in international collaborative projects of surveillance programmes at Flyway Network Sites and other important sites to increase the understanding of avian influenza (and other relevant zoonotic diseases) and their impacts on migratory waterbirds? (Partners, APWG-MWAI)

Yes,

Optional text box for further information:

Surveillance and cooperation projects for avian influenza has been held every winter with collaboration among local government, regional environmental offices and National Institute of Environmental Research. Also, NIER has international cooperation projects to share information and to strengthen coordination with neighboring countries and Flyway partner countries.

Q41 3.9.2 Have you been involved in enhancing the network for communication on migratory waterbirds and disease related-issues amongst environment and wildlife agencies and researchers, and other relevant partners? (APWG-MWAI)

Yes

Q42 3.9.3 Have you been involved in the dissemination, review or update of guidelines for improved management planning of wetlands of international importance for waterbirds, aimed at reducing the risk of disease? (Partners, APWG-MWAI)

Yes,

Optional text box for further information:

'Avian Influenza in Wild Birds SOP' and manuals for responding to such diseases has been produced and disseminated.

Page 13: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q43 4.10.1 Have you been involved in capacity development and training programmes that address needs in the areas of migratory waterbird monitoring and conservation, habitat management, sustainable development, and communication, education and public awareness, and participatory (CEPA) methods? (All Partners)

Yes, migratory waterbird monitoring training programme (if 'Yes', please mention the number in the text box below)

,

Optional text box for further information:

Although local government, regional environmental offices, and National Wetlands Center has a range of programs to educate and increase awareness; for example, workshops for wetlands managers, it seems it is hard to fit in to those aforementioned five items.

Q44 4.10.2 Have you been involved in establishing site based or national capacity building programmes to facilitate the ongoing management of migratory waterbirds and their habitats? (National Partners)

Yes,

Optional text box for further information:

The ministry has regularly held an international workshop for site managers once in a year.

Page 14: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q45 5.11.1 Have you been involved in developing new, or ongoing collaborative projects across the ranges of priority species of migratory waterbirds? (All Partners)

Yes (Please indicate the number of such projects, including sister-site projects)

,
Optional text box for further information:

There are various ongoing cooperative projects for waterbirds; cooperation to protect BFS (Korea, Japan, and China), cooperation for Sandes' gulls (Korea and Japan), restoration of stork(Korea and Japan), restoration of Crested Ibis (Korea, China and Japan), joint research for migratory routes of waterbirds (Korea and Mongolia)

Q46 5.11.2 Have you been involved in producing or implementing International Single Species Action Plans for globally threatened species and populations of migratory waterbirds to act as a flagship for wetland conservation? (All Partners)

No,

Optional text box for further information:

Though Korea does not establish ISSAP yet, experts from Korea has engaged and participated in BFS action plans.

Page 15: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q47 Country name

Respondent skipped this question

Q48 Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

Q49 EAAFP Site Code

Respondent skipped this question

Q50 Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

Q51 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

Q52 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q53 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Respondent skipped this question

Q54 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question