



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

Introduction

The Report on Implementation is an important mechanism for EAAFP Partners, Working Groups and Task Forces to record and monitor their implementation of the EAAFP. As a result, Partners, WG and TF are encouraged to respond to all questions.

The deadline for submission is **16 September 2018** to allow the EAAFP Secretariat to analyze the results as a summary to be presented to MOP10. It will not be possible to include information from Reports received after that date.

The Report template is available in an online format and as a Word format. Partners are requested to submit their final Report using the online format so as to facilitate analysis by the Secretariat.

The structure of the MOP10 Report Format is in four sections:

- Section A is to provide information about the Partner, WG and TF, and details about the contact person for implementation of the Partnership.
- Section B allows Partners, WG and TF to provide a summary of their progress with implementation and recommendations for the future.
- Section C provides 33 implementation indicator questions, grouped under each Outcome in the EAAFP Implementation Strategy 2012-2016, and with an optional text section where Partner may add further information if they wish.
- Section D is a voluntary section for Country Partners to provide more information about the Flyway Network Sites in their country

After the Report has been completed, Partners, WG and TF are requested to submit it using the online format.

Please submit the completed Report on Implementation to using the online (Survey Monkey) format by **16 September 2018**. The reporting period is from December 2016 to 16 September 2018



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

A. Institutional Information

1. Name of Partner, Working Group or Task Force

Name of Partner, Working Group or Task Force: [Wildlife Conservation Society]

Type of Partner:

National Governments []

Inter-Governmental Organisations []

International Non-Governmental Organisations [x]

International Private Enterprise []

Name, title and contact details of designated contact person(s):

Person 1	Name: Jonathan Slaght
	Position: Russia & NE Asia Coordinator
	Address: 2300 Southern Blvd, Bronx, NY, USA
	Email: jslaght@wcs.org
	Phone: +1-612-205-7784
Person 2 (if needed)	Name:
	Position:
	Address:
	Email:
	Phone:
Person 3 (if needed)	Name:
	Position:
	Address:
	Email:
	Phone:
Person 4 (if needed)	Name:
	Position:
	Address:
	Email:
	Phone:

Name, title and contact details of the person completing this form:

Name: same
Position:
Address:
Email:
Phone:



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

B. General Summary of Implementation: Progress and Challenges

1. What have been the most successful aspects of your implementation of the Partnership (up to five points)
 - a. Capacity building
 - b. Research
 - c. Collaboration
 - d.
 - e.

2. What have been the main challenges in your implementation of the Partnership (up to five points)
 - a. International Diplomacy
 - b.
 - c.
 - d.
 - e.

3. What are the future priorities for your implementation of the Partnership (up to five points)
 - a. Continued Collaboration
 - b.
 - c.
 - d.
 - e.

4. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from the EAAFP Secretariat?

5. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from other Partners, Working Groups or Task Forces?

6. Do you have any other general comments on implementation of the Partnership?



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 1: Develop the Flyway Network of sites of international importance for the conservation of migratory waterbirds, building on the achievements of the APMWCS networks.

Outcome 1: Internationally important sites are identified for all migratory waterbirds across the Flyway and prioritized for conservation and inclusion in the Flyway Site Network.

1.1.1 Have you been involved in developing a list of internationally important sites to support the life cycles of the Flyway's waterbirds? (Secretariat, WGs, INGOs and/or Monitoring Task Force)

Yes No Not applicable

1.1.2 Have you been involved in prioritization of the above sites (1.1) for nomination in the FSN and to review/revise this list at each successive MoP? (Secretariat / Consultant / Monitoring Task Force)

Yes No Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

1.1.3a How many new Flyway Network Sites have you been involved in designating since the last reporting period? (National Government Partners)

Please indicate number Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

1.1.3b How many new Flyway Network Sites do you intend to designate between MOP10 and MOP11? (National Government Partners)

Please indicate number Not applicable

Optional text box for further information



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 1: Develop the Flyway Network of sites of international importance for the conservation of migratory waterbirds, building on the achievements of the APMWCS networks.

Outcome 2: The management of internationally important sites demonstrates sound integration of wetland biodiversity conservation and sustainable development that benefits local communities.

1.2.1 Have you helped to make available management guidelines and case studies to enhance the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats, emphasizing participatory management processes and benefits to local communities? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, with support from all Partners)

Yes No Not applicable

Collaboration with USA at the Qupaluk FNS to collect data that can feed into an Arctic FNS management plan

1.2.2a During the reporting period, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds have you been involved in developing, implementing, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs)

Yes If “Yes”, please indicate the number of management plans
 No Not applicable

If the Qupaluk FNS example counts, then one. If not, then zero.

1.2.

2b Between now and MOP11, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds do you intend to develop, implement, review or update? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs)

Yes If “Yes”, please indicate the number of management plans
 No Not applicable

1—same as above



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

1.2.3 Have you helped to develop collaboration with other international initiatives relating to the management of migratory waterbird habitat? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners)

Yes No Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

1.2.4 Have you helped to develop model projects at Flyway Network Sites to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs, and contributing to sound integration of wetland biodiversity conservation and sustainable development that benefits local communities? (National Government Partners)

Yes No Not applicable

Optional text box for further information



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 1: Develop the Flyway Network of sites of international importance for the conservation of migratory waterbirds, building on the achievements of the APMWCS networks.

Outcome 3: The ecological, social and economic values of sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds are recognized in development and impact assessment processes.

1.3.1 Are you working to mainstream migratory waterbird conservation into national policies, plans and programmes, facilitated by National Partnerships? (National Government Partners)

Yes No Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

1.3.2 Have you been working to adapt and make available guidelines and case studies on development and impact assessment processes relevant to network sites and their catchments? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners)

Yes No Not applicable

Optional text box for further information



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 2: Enhance communication, education and public awareness of the values of migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

Outcome 4: There is a high level of awareness and recognition of the ecological, social and economic values of migratory waterbirds and Network sites.

2.4.1 Are you using the flyway-wide CEPA strategy at national and site levels as ongoing guidance for the awareness and communication activities of the Flyway Partnership? (All Partners)

Yes No Partly Not applicable

Guess I did not know we had a specific strategy, but I consider the workshop in Ternei to be about enhancing education

2.4.2 Have you been involved in the production of CEPA resources and have made these available to the Secretariat for distribution more widely? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, supported by all Partners)

Yes No Not applicable

Optional text box for further information



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 2: Enhance communication, education and public awareness of the values of migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

Outcome 5: There is a broad level of recognition of the activities and achievements of the Flyway Partnership.

2.5.1 Are your Partnership activities being implemented in line with the CEPA Strategy?

Yes No Partly Not applicable

Presumably

2.5.2a Are you working to support the development and strengthening of national and sub-regional partnerships as mechanisms for delivery of the EAAFP Implementation Strategy?

Yes No Partly Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

2.5.2b If there are national and/or sub-regional partnerships, please indicate the number of meetings that have been held since the last reporting period

[1] Number of national or sub-regional meetings held Not applicable

Again, the Ternei meeting



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 3: Enhance flyway research and monitoring activities, build knowledge and promote exchange of information on waterbirds and their habitats.

Outcome 6: Scientifically sound information is available on the flyway-wide status and trends of waterbird populations and their habitats.

3.6.1 Have you been involved in collaboration and integration activities to increase assessment and monitoring programmes to provide scientifically sound information on the status and trends of migratory waterbird populations?

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Ooookkkkk here is where the Ternei shorebird workshop goes.

3.6.2 Have you been involved in developing wetland assessment programmes for any of the Task Force priority regions to provide information on the status of migratory waterbird habitats?

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

3.6.3 Have you been involved in gathering updated information on the status and threats to internationally important sites and to Flyway Network sites in particular?

Yes No In progress Not applicable

The Kotzebue trip?

3.6.4 Have you been involved in identifying the key threatening processes to migratory waterbirds in the EAAF, and compiling technical briefs for the EAAF website to illustrate examples of best practice mitigation measures?

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Optional text box for further information



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 3: Enhance flyway research and monitoring activities, build knowledge and promote exchange of information on waterbirds and their habitats.

Outcome 7: Information is available on the internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds in all countries of the Flyway.

- 3.7.1 Have you been involved in providing input or analyzing count data to identify internationally important sites and gaps for migratory waterbirds in the Flyway and inform conservation measures? (Wetlands International and BirdLife International, with input from National Government Partners and Working Groups)

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Kotzebue trip? Is WCS not allowed to answer this question (I only see WI and BLI above)

- 3.7.2 Have you been involved in surveys to prioritize knowledge gaps at internationally important sites and to progressively address those gaps? (National government Partners, EAAFP WGs)

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Kotzebue

- 3.7.3 Have you been involved in making data available on internationally important sites and share that data amongst Partners, such as through the EAAFP website? (Secretariat, other Partners)

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Kotzebue



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 3: Enhance flyway research and monitoring activities, build knowledge and promote exchange of information on waterbirds and their habitats.

Outcome 8: Knowledge of the ecology and migratory strategies of waterbirds is enhanced to support conservation action.

3.8.1 Have you been involved in implementing international collaborative research projects for migratory waterbirds to better understand the connectivity across the Flyway and inform development of the Flyway Site Network? (All Partners)

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Kotzebue

3.8.2 Have you been involved in improving the knowledge base on migratory waterbirds for the three priority subregions, i.e. the Yellow Sea and Amur/Heilong Basin? (Task Forces for these regions, including relevant Government Partners)

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Nordmann's greenshank support

3.8.3 Have you been involved in reviewing and developing the Migratory Waterbird Marking Protocols for migratory waterbirds? (Task Force on Colour Marking)

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Optional text box for further information



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 3: Enhance flyway research and monitoring activities, build knowledge and promote exchange of information on waterbirds and their habitats.

Outcome 9: Knowledge of the potential role of migratory waterbirds in disease transmission, especially Avian Influenza, is enhanced.

3.9.1 Have you been involved in international collaborative projects of surveillance programmes at Flyway Network Sites and other important sites to increase the understanding of avian influenza (and other relevant zoonotic diseases) and their impacts on migratory waterbirds? (Partners, APWG-MWAI)

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

3.9.2 Have you been involved in enhancing the network for communication on migratory waterbirds and disease related-issues amongst environment and wildlife agencies and researchers, and other relevant partners? (APWG-MWAI)

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

3.9.3 Have you been involved in the dissemination, review or update of guidelines for improved management planning of wetlands of international importance for waterbirds, aimed at reducing the risk of disease? (Partners, APWG-MWAI)

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Optional text box for further information



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 4: Build the habitat and waterbird management capacity of natural resource managers, decision makers and local stakeholders

Outcome 10: Natural resource managers, decision makers and local stakeholders at internationally important sites have the skills and support to enable sustainable management of waterbird habitats.

4.10.1 Have you been involved in capacity development and training programmes that address needs in the areas of migratory waterbird monitoring and conservation, habitat management, sustainable development, and communication, education and public awareness, and participatory (CEPA) methods? (All Partners)

- | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, migratory waterbird monitoring training programme; | <input type="checkbox"/> number |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, migratory waterbird conservation training programme; | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 number |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, habitat management training programme; | <input type="checkbox"/> number |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, sustainable development training programme; | <input type="checkbox"/> number |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, CEPA training programme; | <input type="checkbox"/> number |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> In progress <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable | |

Shorebird workshop in ternei?

4.10.2 Have you been involved in establishing site based or national capacity building programmes to facilitate the ongoing management of migratory waterbirds and their habitats? (National Partners)

- Yes No In progress Not applicable

Shorebird workshop in ternei?



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 5: Develop, especially for priority species and habitats, flyway wide approaches to enhance the conservation status of migratory waterbirds.

Outcome 11: Collaborative flyway-wide actions for waterbird species and habitats have improved the conservation status of priority species.

5.11.1 Have you been involved in developing new, or ongoing collaborative projects across the ranges of priority species of migratory waterbirds? (All Partners)

Yes If “Yes”, the number of such projects, including sister-site projects

No In progress Not applicable

Pronvevich (Nordmann's), Matsynas (Dunlin +), Syroechkovskii (SBS)

5.11.2 Have you been involved in producing or implementing International Single Species Action Plans for globally threatened species and populations of migratory waterbirds to act as a flagship for wetland conservation? (All Partners)

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Optional text box for further information



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China

9-14 December 2018

D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites (FNS)

The voluntary Section D is to allow Country Partners to update the information on the status of their existing Flyway Sites. Please refer to the status of Flyway Network Sites on the following webpage (<https://eaaflyway.net/about-us/the-flyway/flyway-site-network/>) to fill in the questionnaires below. As discussed and agreed at MOP 9, we would like to highly encourage you to update and submit the outdated and missing SIS and maps, and we would be grateful if you can complete Section D before 16 September 2018. The Secretariat will facilitate an analysis provided in the SIS and present the result of the FNS status at MOP 10.

Country name: [] Number of FNS: []

Num	Name of FNS	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4a	Q4b	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12
1														
2														
3														
4														
Please add more rows if needed														

*Please write down Yes (Y) or No (N) above table depending the questions.

Q1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet (SIS)?

[] Yes [] No

Q2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS?

[] Yes [] No

Q3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

[] Yes [] No

Q4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

[] Yes [] No



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China

9-14 December 2018

Q4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

[Date/Month/Year]

Q5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Yes No

Q6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Yes No

Q7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Yes No

Q8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Yes No

Q9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Yes No

Q10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Yes No

Q11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Yes No

Q12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Yes No

Q13. Additional information

[optional text box]