

#16

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, September 15, 2018 4:20:31 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, September 16, 2018 12:51:34 PM
Time Spent: 20:31:03
IP Address: 43.249.65.2

Page 2: A. Institutional Information

Q1 1. Name of Partner, Working Group or Task Force

Office of Natural Resources and Environmental Policy and Planning, Thailand

Q2 2. Type of Partner**National
Governments****Q3** 3. Name, title and contact details of designated contact person(s) Person 1

Name	Dr. Jittinun Ruengverayudh
Position	Director of Biodiversity Management Division
Address	Office of Natural Resources and Environmental Policy and Planning
Email	jittinun25112gmail.com
Phone	+662 262 6560

Q4 Person 2 (if needed)

Name	Ms. Sukanya Wisan
Position	Environmentalist, Senior Professional Level
Address	Office of Natural Resources and Environmental Policy and Planning
Email	sukanya4403@gmail.com
Phone	(+662) 265 6560

Q5 Person 3 (if needed)

Name	Dr. Aree Suwanmanee
Position	Environmentalist, Senior Professional Level
Address	Office of Natural Resources and Environmental Policy and Planning
Email	aree108@hotmail.com
Phone	(+662) 265 6735

Q6 Person 4 (if needed)

Respondent skipped this question

Q7 4. Name, title and contact details of the person completing this form

Respondent skipped this question

Page 3: B. General Summary of Implementation:Progress and Challenges

Q8 1. What have been the most successful aspects of your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- | | |
|---|--|
| a | continually supporting three international important flyway sites |
| b | building awareness for site manager and local community |
| c | site promotion |
-

Q9 2. What have been the main challenges in your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- | | |
|---|---|
| a | land use change |
| b | funding support |
| c | political decision making |
| d | local benefit sharing |
| e | awareness and participation building |
-

Q10 3. What are the future priorities for your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- | | |
|---|--|
| a | building awareness |
| b | supporting local people and stakeholder by means of collaboration |
| c | improving management of flyway sites |
| d | monitoring and evaluation of flyway site status |
-

Q11 4. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from the EAAFP Secretariat?

More supporting site managers and local stakeholders to enhance knowledge and build awareness on the value and importance of migratory birds

Q12 5. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from other Partners, Working Groups or Task Forces?

Supporting site managers and national focal point in meetings and trainings continually and providing guidelines for sustainable development and benefits local communities

Q13 6. Do you have any other general comments on implementation of the Partnership?

Taking the EAAFP strategy into all relevant policies and planning

Page 4: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q14 1.1.1 Have you been involved in developing a list of internationally important sites to support the life cycles of the Flyway’s waterbirds? (Secretariat, WGs, INGOs and/or Monitoring Task Force) **No**

Q15 1.1.2 Have you been involved in prioritization of the above sites (1.1) for nomination in the FSN and to review/revise this list at each successive MoP? (Secretariat / Consultant / Monitoring Task Force) **No**

Q16 1.1.3a How many new Flyway Network Sites have you been involved in designating since the last reporting period? (National Government Partners) **Not applicable**

Q17 1.1.3b How many new Flyway Network Sites do you intend to designate between MOP10 and MOP11? (National Government Partners) **Please indicate number in optional text box below** ,

Optional text box for further information:
3 site

Page 5: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q18 1.2.1 Have you helped to make available management guidelines and case studies to enhance the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats, emphasizing participatory management processes and benefits to local communities? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, with support from all Partners) **No**

Q19 1.2.2a During the reporting period, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds have you been involved in developing, implementing, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs)

No

Q20 1.2.2b Between now and MOP11, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds do you intend to develop, implement, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs)

Yes (please indicate the number of management plans in optional text box below)

,
Optional text box for further information:
master plan of biodiversity management

Q21 1.2.3 Have you helped to develop collaboration with other international initiatives relating to the management of migratory waterbird habitat? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners)

Yes,

Optional text box for further information:
a Project of Conserving Habitats for Globally Important Flora and Fauna in Production Landscapes

Q22 1.2.4 Have you helped to develop model projects at Flyway Network Sites to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs, and contributing to sound integration of wetland biodiversity conservation and sustainable development that benefits local communities? (National Government Partners)

No

Page 6: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q23 1.3.1 Are you working to mainstream migratory waterbird conservation into national policies, plans, and programmes, facilitated by National Partnerships? (National Government Partners)

No

Q24 1.3.2 Have you been working to adapt and make available guidelines and case studies on development and impact assessment processes relevant to network sites and their catchments? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners)

No

Page 7: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q25 2.4.1 Are you using the flyway-wide CEPA strategy at national and site levels as ongoing guidance for the awareness and communication activities of the Flyway Partnership? (All Partners) **No**

Q26 2.4.2 Have you been involved in the production of CEPA resources and have made these available to the Secretariat for distribution more widely? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, supported by all Partners) **No**

Page 8: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q27 2.5.1 Are your Partnership activities being implemented in line with the CEPA Strategy? **No**

Q28 2.5.2a Are you working to support the development and strengthening of national and sub-regional partnerships as mechanisms for delivery of the EAAFP Implementation Strategy? **Yes,**
 Optional text box for further information:
 The Wetlands Committee and the Technical Wetland Working Group on Wetlands

Q29 2.5.2b If there are national and/or sub-regional partnerships, please indicate the number of meetings that have been held since the last reporting period **Number of national or sub-regional meetings held** ,
 Optional text box for further information:
 each has been meeting three times per year

Page 9: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q30 3.6.1 Have you been involved in collaboration and integration activities to increase assessment and monitoring programmes to provide scientifically sound information on the status and trends of migratory waterbird populations? **Yes,**
 Optional text box for further information:
 Supporting Bird Conservation Society of Thailand to survey and collect data

Q31 3.6.2 Have you been involved in developing wetland assessment programmes for any of the Task Force priority regions to provide information on the status of migratory waterbird habitats? **No**

Q32 3.6.3 Have you been involved in gathering updated information on the status and threats to internationally important sites and to Flyway Network sites in particular?

In progress

Optional text box for further information:

under a project of Improving Biodiversity Conservation of Wetlands and Migratory Waterbirds in the ASEAN Region.

Q33 3.6.4 Have you been involved in identifying the key threatening processes to migratory waterbirds in the EAAF, and compiling technical briefs for the EAAF website to illustrate examples of best practice mitigation measures?

No

Page 10: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q34 3.7.1 Have you been involved in providing input or analyzing count data to identify internationally important sites and gaps for migratory waterbirds in the Flyway and inform conservation measures? (Wetlands International and BirdLife International, with input from National Government Partners and Working Groups)

In progress

Optional text box for further information:

under a project of Improving Biodiversity Conservation of Wetlands and Migratory Waterbirds in the ASEAN Region.

Q35 3.7.2 Have you been involved in surveys to prioritize knowledge gaps at internationally important sites and to progressively address those gaps? (National Government Partners, EAAF WGs)

In progress

Optional text box for further information:

under a project of Improving Biodiversity Conservation of Wetlands and Migratory Waterbirds in the ASEAN Region.

Q36 3.7.3 Have you been involved in making data available on internationally important sites and share that data amongst Partners, such as through the EAAF website? (Secretariat, other Partners)

No,

Optional text box for further information:

under a project of Improving Biodiversity Conservation of Wetlands and Migratory Waterbirds in the ASEAN Region.

Page 11: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q37 3.8.1 Have you been involved in implementing international collaborative research projects for migratory waterbirds to better understand the connectivity across the Flyway and inform development of the Flyway Site Network? (All Partners)

No

Q38 3.8.2 Have you been involved in improving the knowledge base on migratory waterbirds for the three priority subregions, i.e. the Yellow Sea and Amur/Heilong Basin? (Task Forces for these regions, including relevant Government Partners) **No**

Q39 3.8.3 Have you been involved in reviewing and developing the Migratory Waterbird Marking Protocols for migratory waterbirds? (Task Force on Colour Marking) **No**

Page 12: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q40 3.9.1 Have you been involved in international collaborative projects of surveillance programmes at Flyway Network Sites and other important sites to increase the understanding of avian influenza (and other relevant zoonotic diseases) and their impacts on migratory waterbirds? (Partners, APWG-MWAI) **Yes,**
Optional text box for further information:
Department of National Park Wildlife and Plant Conservation has set up activities for precautionary and monitoring of emerging diseases

Q41 3.9.2 Have you been involved in enhancing the network for communication on migratory waterbirds and disease related-issues amongst environment and wildlife agencies and researchers, and other relevant partners? (APWG-MWAI) **No**

Q42 3.9.3 Have you been involved in the dissemination, review or update of guidelines for improved management planning of wetlands of international importance for waterbirds, aimed at reducing the risk of disease? (Partners, APWG-MWAI) **No**

Page 13: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q43 4.10.1 Have you been involved in capacity development and training programmes that address needs in the areas of migratory waterbird monitoring and conservation, habitat management, sustainable development, and communication, education and public awareness, and participatory (CEPA) methods? (All Partners) **No**

Q44 4.10.2 Have you been involved in establishing site based or national capacity building programmes to facilitate the ongoing management of migratory waterbirds and their habitats? (National Partners) **No**

Page 14: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q45 5.11.1 Have you been involved in developing new, or ongoing collaborative projects across the ranges of priority species of migratory waterbirds? (All Partners) **No**

Q46 5.11.2 Have you been involved in producing or implementing International Single Species Action Plans for globally threatened species and populations of migratory waterbirds to act as a flagship for wetland conservation? (All Partners) **No**

Page 15: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q47 Country name

Thailand

Q48 Name of FNS

Krabi Estuary

Q49 EAAFP Site Code

Respondent skipped this question

Q50 Year of joining

2005

Q51 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Yes**

Q52 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **Yes**

Q53 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **No**

Q54 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **No**

Q55 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q56 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes**

Q57 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes**

Q58 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes**

Q59 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **No**

Q60 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q61 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q62 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q63 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q64 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q65 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 16: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q66 Country name

Thailand

Q67 Name of FNS

Khok Klam

Q68 Year of joining

2014

Q69 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Yes****Q70** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **Yes****Q71** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **No****Q72** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **No****Q73** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question****Q74** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes****Q75** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes****Q76** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes****Q77** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Respondent skipped this question****Q78** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q79 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q80 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q81 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q82 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q83 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 17: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q84 Country name

Thailand

Q85 Name of FNS

Pak Thalay - Leam Pak Bia

Q86 Year of joining

2014

Q87 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Yes**

Q88 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **Yes**

Q89 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **No**

Q90 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **No**

Q91 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q92 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes**

Q93 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes**

Q94 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes**

Q95 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **No**

Q96 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q97 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q98 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q99 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q100 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q101 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **No**

Page 18: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q102 Country name **Respondent skipped this question**

Q103 Name of FNS **Respondent skipped this question**

Q104 Year of joining **Respondent skipped this question**

Q105 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q106 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q107 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q108 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q109 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q110 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q111 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q112 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q113 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **No**

Q114 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q115 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? Respondent skipped this question

Q116 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? Respondent skipped this question

Q117 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

Q118 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

Q119 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) Respondent skipped this question

Page 19: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q120 Country name Respondent skipped this question

Q121 Name of FNS Respondent skipped this question

Q122 Year of joining Respondent skipped this question

Q123 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Respondent skipped this question

Q124 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? Respondent skipped this question

Q125 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? Respondent skipped this question

Q126 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

Q127 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

Q128 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? Respondent skipped this question

Q129 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? Respondent skipped this question

Q130 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? Respondent skipped this question

Q131 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? Respondent skipped this question

Q132 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? Respondent skipped this question

Q133 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? Respondent skipped this question

Q134 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? Respondent skipped this question

Q135 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

Q136 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

Q137 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) Respondent skipped this question

Page 20: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q138 Country name Respondent skipped this question

Q139 Name of FNS Respondent skipped this question

Q140 Year of joining	Respondent skipped this question
-----------------------------	----------------------------------

Q141 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?	Respondent skipped this question
---	----------------------------------

Q142 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
---	----------------------------------

Q143 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?	Respondent skipped this question
---	----------------------------------

Q144 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
--	----------------------------------

Q145 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
---	----------------------------------

Q146 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
---	----------------------------------

Q147 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
---	----------------------------------

Q148 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
---	----------------------------------

Q149 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
---	----------------------------------

Q150 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
---	----------------------------------

Q151 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
---	----------------------------------

Q152 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q153 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q154 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

Q155 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

Page 21: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q156 Country name

Respondent skipped this question

Q157 Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

Q158 Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

Q159 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

Q160 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q161 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Respondent skipped this question

Q162 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q163 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q164 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q165 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q166 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q167 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q168 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q169 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q170 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q171 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q172 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

Q173 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

Page 22: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q174 Country name

Respondent skipped this question

Q175 Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

Q176 Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

Q177 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q178 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q179 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q180 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q181 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q182 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q183 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q184 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q185 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q186 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q187 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q188 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?	Respondent skipped this question

Q189 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

Q190 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

Q191 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) Respondent skipped this question

Page 23: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q192 Country name Respondent skipped this question

Q193 Name of FNS Respondent skipped this question

Q194 Year of joining Respondent skipped this question

Q195 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Respondent skipped this question

Q196 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? Respondent skipped this question

Q197 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? Respondent skipped this question

Q198 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

Q199 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

Q200 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? Respondent skipped this question

Q201 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? Respondent skipped this question

Q202 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q203 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q204 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q205 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q206 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q207 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q208 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

Q209 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

Page 24: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q210 Country name

Thailand

Q211 Name of FNS

Krabi Estuary

Q212 Year of joining

2005

Q213 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?	Yes
---	------------

Q214 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?	Yes
---	------------

Q215 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?	No
---	-----------

Q216 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	No
--	-----------

Q217 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
---	---

Q218 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	Yes
---	------------

Q219 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Yes
---	------------

Q220 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	Yes
---	------------

Q221 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	No
---	-----------

Q222 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Yes
---	------------

Q223 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	No
---	-----------

Q224 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?	No
---	-----------

Q225 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q226 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q227 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **No**

Page 25: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q228 Country name **Respondent skipped this question**

Q229 Name of FNS **Respondent skipped this question**

Q230 Year of joining **Respondent skipped this question**

Q231 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q232 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q233 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q234 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q235 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q236 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q237 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**