

#13

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, August 12, 2018 4:24:30 PM
Last Modified: Saturday, September 15, 2018 5:09:30 AM
Time Spent: Over a month
IP Address: 123.49.2.190

Page 2: A. Institutional Information

Q1 1. Name of Partner, Working Group or Task Force

Bangladesh Forest Department

Q2 2. Type of Partner**National
Governments****Q3** 3. Name, title and contact details of designated contact person(s) Person 1

Name	Mr. Md. Jahidul Kabir
Position	Conservator of Forests
Address	Wildlife and Nature Conservation Circle, Bangladesh Forest Department, Bana Bhaban, Agargaon, Dhaka, Bangladesh
Email	jahidulkabirbfd@gmail.com
Phone	Cell: +8801778454499; Office: +88-02-8181142

Q4 Person 2 (if needed)

Name	Mr. Md. Golam Rabbi
Position	Wildlife & Biodiversity Conservation Officer
Address	Wildlife Crime Control Unit, Bangladesh Forest Department, Bana Bhaban, Agargaon, Dhaka, Bangladesh
Email	rabbi_rk@yahoo.com
Phone	Cell: +8801676386514; Office: +88-02-8181142

Q5 Person 3 (if needed)

Name	Mr. Abu Naser Mohsin Hossain
Position	Assistant Conservator of Forests
Address	Wildlife Crime Control Unit, Bangladesh Forest Department, Bana Bhaban, Agargaon, Dhaka, Bangladesh
Email	abunasermh@gmail.com
Phone	Cell: +8801711189877; Office: +88-02-8181142

Q6 Person 4 (if needed)

Respondent skipped this question

Q7 4. Name, title and contact details of the person completing this form

Name	Mr. Md. Jahidul Kabir
Position	Conservator of Forests
Address	Wildlife and Nature Conservation Circle, Bangladesh Forest Department, Bana Bhaban, Agargaon, Dhaka, Bangladesh
Email	jahidulkabirbfd@gmail.com
Phone	Cell: +8801778454499; Office: +88-02-8181142

Page 3: B. General Summary of Implementation:Progress and Challenges

Q8 1. What have been the most successful aspects of your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

a	Conservation of threaten species like Spoonbill Sandpiper, Great Knot, Eurasian Curlew etc.
b	Regular survey and monitoring
c	Community awareness
d	Collaboration with national and international partners
e	Support from EAAFP Secretariat

Q9 2. What have been the main challenges in your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

a	Coordination with relevant stakeholders
b	Inadequate staff
c	Inadequate budget
d	Community dependency
e	Policy level awareness

Q10 3. What are the future priorities for your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- | | |
|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| a | Regular site monitoring |
| b | Survey and collaborative research with emerging technologies |
| c | Coordination with nodal person and concern agencies |
| d | Partnership meeting |
| e | Community level program |
-

Q11 4. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from the EAAFP Secretariat?

Secretariat should more focus on coordination between country focal person and working groups or task forces.

Q12 5. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from other Partners, Working Groups or Task Forces?

In some cases research/survey conduct on EAAFP prioritized species. But the problem is no communication with the EAAFP country focal person. Task Force/Working Groups can ensure better coordination in this regard.

Q13 6. Do you have any other general comments on implementation of the Partnership?

Not Applicable

Page 4: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q14 1.1.1 Have you been involved in developing a list of internationally important sites to support the life cycles of the Flyway's waterbirds? (Secretariat, WGs, INGOs and/or Monitoring Task Force) **No**

Q15 1.1.2 Have you been involved in prioritization of the above sites (1.1) for nomination in the FSN and to review/revise this list at each successive MoP? (Secretariat / Consultant / Monitoring Task Force) **No**

Q16 1.1.3a How many new Flyway Network Sites have you been involved in designating since the last reporting period? (National Government Partners) **Not applicable**

Q17 1.1.3b How many new Flyway Network Sites do you intend to designate between MOP10 and MOP11? (National Government Partners) **Not applicable**

Page 5: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q18 1.2.1 Have you helped to make available management guidelines and case studies to enhance the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats, emphasizing participatory management processes and benefits to local communities? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, with support from all Partners)

Yes

Q19 1.2.2a During the reporting period, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds have you been involved in developing, implementing, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs)

Yes,

Optional text box for further information:

Management Plan- 07 Action Plan- 01

Q20 1.2.2b Between now and MOP11, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds do you intend to develop, implement, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs)

Yes (please indicate the number of management plans in optional text box below)

,

Optional text box for further information:

Management Plan- 10 Action Plan- 01

Q21 1.2.3 Have you helped to develop collaboration with other international initiatives relating to the management of migratory waterbird habitat? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners)

Yes

Q22 1.2.4 Have you helped to develop model projects at Flyway Network Sites to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs, and contributing to sound integration of wetland biodiversity conservation and sustainable development that benefits local communities? (National Government Partners)

Yes

Page 6: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q23 1.3.1 Are you working to mainstream migratory waterbird conservation into national policies, plans, and programmes, facilitated by National Partnerships? (National Government Partners)

Yes

Q24 1.3.2 Have you been working to adapt and make available guidelines and case studies on development and impact assessment processes relevant to network sites and their catchments? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners)

Yes

Page 7: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q25 2.4.1 Are you using the flyway-wide CEPA strategy at national and site levels as ongoing guidance for the awareness and communication activities of the Flyway Partnership? (All Partners) **Yes**

Q26 2.4.2 Have you been involved in the production of CEPA resources and have made these available to the Secretariat for distribution more widely? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, supported by all Partners) **Yes**

Page 8: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q27 2.5.1 Are your Partnership activities being implemented in line with the CEPA Strategy? **Yes**

Q28 2.5.2a Are you working to support the development and strengthening of national and sub-regional partnerships as mechanisms for delivery of the EAAFP Implementation Strategy? **Yes**

Q29 2.5.2b If there are national and/or sub-regional partnerships, please indicate the number of meetings that have been held since the last reporting period **Not applicable**

Page 9: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q30 3.6.1 Have you been involved in collaboration and integration activities to increase assessment and monitoring programmes to provide scientifically sound information on the status and trends of migratory waterbird populations? **Yes**

Q31 3.6.2 Have you been involved in developing wetland assessment programmes for any of the Task Force priority regions to provide information on the status of migratory waterbird habitats? **No**

Q32 3.6.3 Have you been involved in gathering updated information on the status and threats to internationally important sites and to Flyway Network sites in particular? **Yes**

Q33 3.6.4 Have you been involved in identifying the key threatening processes to migratory waterbirds in the EAAF, and compiling technical briefs for the EAAF website to illustrate examples of best practice mitigation measures? **No**

Page 10: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q34 3.7.1 Have you been involved in providing input or analyzing count data to identify internationally important sites and gaps for migratory waterbirds in the Flyway and inform conservation measures? (Wetlands International and BirdLife International, with input from National Government Partners and Working Groups) **In progress**

Q35 3.7.2 Have you been involved in surveys to prioritize knowledge gaps at internationally important sites and to progressively address those gaps? (National Government Partners, EAAF WG) **Yes**

Q36 3.7.3 Have you been involved in making data available on internationally important sites and share that data amongst Partners, such as through the EAAF website? (Secretariat, other Partners) **No**

Page 11: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q37 3.8.1 Have you been involved in implementing international collaborative research projects for migratory waterbirds to better understand the connectivity across the Flyway and inform development of the Flyway Site Network? (All Partners) **In progress**

Q38 3.8.2 Have you been involved in improving the knowledge base on migratory waterbirds for the three priority subregions, i.e. the Yellow Sea and Amur/Heilong Basin? (Task Forces for these regions, including relevant Government Partners) **Not applicable**

Q39 3.8.3 Have you been involved in reviewing and developing the Migratory Waterbird Marking Protocols for migratory waterbirds? (Task Force on Colour Marking) **Yes**

Page 12: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q40 3.9.1 Have you been involved in international collaborative projects of surveillance programmes at Flyway Network Sites and other important sites to increase the understanding of avian influenza (and other relevant zoonotic diseases) and their impacts on migratory waterbirds? (Partners, APWG-MWAI)

In progress

Q41 3.9.2 Have you been involved in enhancing the network for communication on migratory waterbirds and disease related-issues amongst environment and wildlife agencies and researchers, and other relevant partners? (APWG-MWAI)

In progress

Q42 3.9.3 Have you been involved in the dissemination, review or update of guidelines for improved management planning of wetlands of international importance for waterbirds, aimed at reducing the risk of disease? (Partners, APWG-MWAI)

Yes

Page 13: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q43 4.10.1 Have you been involved in capacity development and training programmes that address needs in the areas of migratory waterbird monitoring and conservation, habitat management, sustainable development, and communication, education and public awareness, and participatory (CEPA) methods? (All Partners)

Yes, migratory waterbird monitoring training programme (if 'Yes', please mention the number in the text box below)

,

Yes, migratory waterbird conservation training programme (if 'Yes', please mention the number in the text box below)

,

Yes, habitat management training programme (if 'Yes', please mention the number in the text box below)

,

Yes, sustainable development training programme (if 'Yes', please mention the number in the text box below)

,

Yes, CEPA training programme (if 'Yes', please mention the number in the text box below)

,

Optional text box for further information:

capacity development and training programmes are regularly conducting by the partner organization

Q44 4.10.2 Have you been involved in establishing site based or national capacity building programmes to facilitate the ongoing management of migratory waterbirds and their habitats? (National Partners) **Yes**

Page 14: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q45 5.11.1 Have you been involved in developing new, or ongoing collaborative projects across the ranges of priority species of migratory waterbirds? (All Partners) **Yes (Please indicate the number of such projects, including sister-site projects)**
 ,
 Optional text box for further information:
 Projects:
 04

Q46 5.11.2 Have you been involved in producing or implementing International Single Species Action Plans for globally threatened species and populations of migratory waterbirds to act as a flagship for wetland conservation? (All Partners) **No**

Page 15: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q47 Country name

Bangladesh

Q48 Name of FNS

Nijhum Dweep National Park

Q49 EAAFP Site Code

eaaf102

Q50 Year of joining

2011

Q51 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Yes**

Q52 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No**

Q53 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes**

Q54 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Yes**

Q55 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

NA

Q56 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes**

Q57 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes**

Q58 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes**

Q59 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Yes**

Q60 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q61 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q62 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **Yes**

Q63 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **Yes**

Q64 13. Additional information

NA

Q65 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 16: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q66 Country name

Bangladesh

Q67 Name of FNS

Sonadia

Q68 Year of joining

2011

Q69 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Yes**

Q70 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No**

Q71 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes**

Q72 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **No**

Q73 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

NA

Q74 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes**

Q75 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes**

Q76 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes**

Q77 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Yes**

Q78 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q79 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q80 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **Yes**

Q81 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **Yes**

Q82 13. Additional information

NA

Q83 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 17: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q84 Country name

Bangladesh

Q85 Name of FNSHakaluki Haor

Q86 Year of joining2011

Q87 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Yes**

Q88 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No**

Q89 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes**

Q90 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **No**

Q91 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?NA

Q92 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes**

Q93 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes**

Q94 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes**

Q95 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Yes**

Q96 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q97 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q98 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q99 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q100 13. Additional information

NA

Q101 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 18: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q102 Country name

Bangladesh

Q103 Name of FNS

Tanguar Haor

Q104 Year of joining

2011

Q105 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Yes**

Q106 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No**

Q107 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes**

Q108 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Yes**

Q109 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

NA

Q110 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes**

Q111 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes**

Q112 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes**

Q113 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Yes**

Q114 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q115 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q116 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **Yes**

Q117 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **Yes**

Q118 13. Additional information

NA

Q119 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 19: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q120 Country name

Bangladesh

Q121 Name of FNS

Hail Haor

Q122 Year of joining

2011

Q123 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Yes**

Q124 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No**

Q125 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes**

Q126 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **No**

Q127 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

NA

Q128 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes**

Q129 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **No**

Q130 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes**

Q131 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **No**

Q132 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q133 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q134 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q135 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q136 13. Additional information

NA

Q137 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **No**

Page 20: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q138 Country name **Respondent skipped this question**

Q139 Name of FNS **Respondent skipped this question**
