

#26

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, October 06, 2018 3:49:32 PM
Last Modified: Saturday, October 06, 2018 4:14:53 PM
Time Spent: 00:25:20
IP Address: 77.34.249.182

Page 2: A. Institutional Information

Q1 1. Name of Partner, Working Group or Task Force

Scaly-sided Merganser Task Force

Q2 2. Type of Partner

Respondent skipped this question

Q3 3. Name, title and contact details of designated contact person(s) Person 1

Name	Diana Solovyeva
Position	Coordinator
Address	Institute of Biological Problems of the North, Portovaya Str, 18, Magadan, 685000, Russia
Email	diana_solovyova@mail.ru
Phone	+79248558932

Q4 Person 2 (if needed)

Name	Baz Hughes
Position	Representative of leading organization
Address	Wildfowl & Wetlands Trust (WWT) Slimbridge, Glos GL2 7BT, UK
Email	Baz.Hughes@wwt.org.uk
Phone	01453 891172

Q5 Person 3 (if needed)

Respondent skipped this question

Q6 Person 4 (if needed)

Respondent skipped this question

Q7 4. Name, title and contact details of the person completing this form

Name	Diana Solovyeva
Position	Coordinator
Address	Institute of Biological Problems of the North, Portovaya Str, 18, Magadan, 685000, Russia
Email	diana_solovyova@mail.ru
Phone	+79248558932

Page 3: B. General Summary of Implementation:Progress and Challenges

Q8 1. What have been the most successful aspects of your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- | | |
|---|---|
| a | International recognition of ourwork |
| b | Funding opportunities via official recognition of the Task Force |
| c | Possibility to meet colleagues in person |
-

Q9 2. What have been the main challenges in your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- | | |
|---|-------------|
| a | none |
|---|-------------|
-

Q10 3. What are the future priorities for your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- | | |
|---|--|
| a | Attracting more specialists, especially form DPRKorea |
|---|--|
-

Q11 4. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from the EAAFP Secretariat?

no

Q12 5. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from other Partners, Working Groups or Task Forces?

no

Q13 6. Do you have any other general comments on implementation of the Partnership?

no

Page 4: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

- | | |
|--|-----------------------|
| Q14 1.1.1 Have you been involved in developing a list of internationally important sites to support the life cycles of the Flyway's waterbirds? (Secretariat, WGs, INGOs and/or Monitoring Task Force) | No |
| <hr/> | |
| Q15 1.1.2 Have you been involved in prioritization of the above sites (1.1) for nomination in the FSN and to review/revise this list at each successive MoP? (Secretariat / Consultant / Monitoring Task Force) | No |
| <hr/> | |
| Q16 1.1.3a How many new Flyway Network Sites have you been involved in designating since the last reporting period? (National Government Partners) | Not applicable |
| <hr/> | |
| Q17 1.1.3b How many new Flyway Network Sites do you intend to designate between MOP10 and MOP11? (National Government Partners) | Not applicable |

Page 5: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

- | | |
|---|------------|
| Q18 1.2.1 Have you helped to make available management guidelines and case studies to enhance the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats, emphasizing participatory management processes and benefits to local communities? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, with support from all Partners) | Yes |
| <hr/> | |
| Q19 1.2.2a During the reporting period, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds have you been involved in developing, implementing, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs) | No |
| <hr/> | |
| Q20 1.2.2b Between now and MOP11, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds do you intend to develop, implement, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs) | No |
| <hr/> | |
| Q21 1.2.3 Have you helped to develop collaboration with other international initiatives relating to the management of migratory waterbird habitat? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners) | Yes |

Q22 1.2.4 Have you helped to develop model projects at Flyway Network Sites to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs, and contributing to sound integration of wetland biodiversity conservation and sustainable development that benefits local communities? (National Government Partners) **No**

Page 6: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q23 1.3.1 Are you working to mainstream migratory waterbird conservation into national policies, plans, and programmes, facilitated by National Partnerships? (National Government Partners) **Yes**

Q24 1.3.2 Have you been working to adapt and make available guidelines and case studies on development and impact assessment processes relevant to network sites and their catchments? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners) **No**

Page 7: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q25 2.4.1 Are you using the flyway-wide CEPA strategy at national and site levels as ongoing guidance for the awareness and communication activities of the Flyway Partnership? (All Partners) **Yes**

Q26 2.4.2 Have you been involved in the production of CEPA resources and have made these available to the Secretariat for distribution more widely? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, supported by all Partners) **No**

Page 8: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q27 2.5.1 Are your Partnership activities being implemented in line with the CEPA Strategy? **Yes**

Q28 2.5.2a Are you working to support the development and strengthening of national and sub-regional partnerships as mechanisms for delivery of the EAAFP Implementation Strategy? **Yes**

Q29 2.5.2b If there are national and/or sub-regional partnerships, please indicate the number of meetings that have been held since the last reporting period

Number of national or sub-regional meetings held ,

Optional text box for further information:

3

Page 9: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q30 3.6.1 Have you been involved in collaboration and integration activities to increase assessment and monitoring programmes to provide scientifically sound information on the status and trends of migratory waterbird populations? **Yes**

Q31 3.6.2 Have you been involved in developing wetland assessment programmes for any of the Task Force priority regions to provide information on the status of migratory waterbird habitats? **No**

Q32 3.6.3 Have you been involved in gathering updated information on the status and threats to internationally important sites and to Flyway Network sites in particular? **Yes**

Q33 3.6.4 Have you been involved in identifying the key threatening processes to migratory waterbirds in the EAAF, and compiling technical briefs for the EAAFP website to illustrate examples of best practice mitigation measures? **No**

Page 10: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q34 3.7.1 Have you been involved in providing input or analyzing count data to identify internationally important sites and gaps for migratory waterbirds in the Flyway and inform conservation measures? (Wetlands International and BirdLife International, with input from National Government Partners and Working Groups) **Yes**

Q35 3.7.2 Have you been involved in surveys to prioritize knowledge gaps at internationally important sites and to progressively address those gaps? (National Government Partners, EAAFP WGs) **No**

Q36 3.7.3 Have you been involved in making data available on internationally important sites and share that data amongst Partners, such as through the EAAFP website? (Secretariat, other Partners) **No**

Page 11: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q37 3.8.1 Have you been involved in implementing international collaborative research projects for migratory waterbirds to better understand the connectivity across the Flyway and inform development of the Flyway Site Network? (All Partners) **Yes**

Q38 3.8.2 Have you been involved in improving the knowledge base on migratory waterbirds for the three priority subregions, i.e. the Yellow Sea and Amur/Heilong Basin? (Task Forces for these regions, including relevant Government Partners) **Yes**

Q39 3.8.3 Have you been involved in reviewing and developing the Migratory Waterbird Marking Protocols for migratory waterbirds? (Task Force on Colour Marking) **Yes**

Page 12: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q40 3.9.1 Have you been involved in international collaborative projects of surveillance programmes at Flyway Network Sites and other important sites to increase the understanding of avian influenza (and other relevant zoonotic diseases) and their impacts on migratory waterbirds? (Partners, APWG-MWAI) **Not applicable**

Q41 3.9.2 Have you been involved in enhancing the network for communication on migratory waterbirds and disease related-issues amongst environment and wildlife agencies and researchers, and other relevant partners? (APWG-MWAI) **Not applicable**

Q42 3.9.3 Have you been involved in the dissemination, review or update of guidelines for improved management planning of wetlands of international importance for waterbirds, aimed at reducing the risk of disease? (Partners, APWG-MWAI) **Not applicable**

Page 13: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q43 4.10.1 Have you been involved in capacity development and training programmes that address needs in the areas of migratory waterbird monitoring and conservation, habitat management, sustainable development, and communication, education and public awareness, and participatory (CEPA) methods? (All Partners)

In progress

Q44 4.10.2 Have you been involved in establishing site based or national capacity building programmes to facilitate the ongoing management of migratory waterbirds and their habitats? (National Partners)

Not applicable

Page 14: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q45 5.11.1 Have you been involved in developing new, or ongoing collaborative projects across the ranges of priority species of migratory waterbirds? (All Partners)

Yes (Please indicate the number of such projects, including sister-site projects)

,
Optional text box for further information:
2

Q46 5.11.2 Have you been involved in producing or implementing International Single Species Action Plans for globally threatened species and populations of migratory waterbirds to act as a flagship for wetland conservation? (All Partners)

Yes

Page 15: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q47 Country name

Respondent skipped this question

Q48 Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

Q49 EAAFP Site Code

Respondent skipped this question

Q50 Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

Q51 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

Q52 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q53 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? Respondent skipped this question

Q54 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

Q55 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

Q56 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? Respondent skipped this question

Q57 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? Respondent skipped this question

Q58 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? Respondent skipped this question

Q59 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? Respondent skipped this question

Q60 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? Respondent skipped this question

Q61 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? Respondent skipped this question

Q62 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? Respondent skipped this question

Q63 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

Q64 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

Q65 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created, If you click 'No', the page will be closed) **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 16: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q66 Country name **Respondent skipped this question**

Q67 Name of FNS **Respondent skipped this question**

Q68 Year of joining **Respondent skipped this question**

Q69 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q70 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q71 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q72 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q73 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q74 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q75 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q76 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q77 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q78 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q79 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q80 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q81 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q82 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

Q83 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

Page 17: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q84 Country name

Respondent skipped this question

Q85 Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

Q86 Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

Q87 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

Q88 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q89 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? Respondent skipped this question

Q90 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

Q91 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

Q92 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? Respondent skipped this question

Q93 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? Respondent skipped this question

Q94 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? Respondent skipped this question

Q95 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? Respondent skipped this question

Q96 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? Respondent skipped this question

Q97 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? Respondent skipped this question

Q98 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? Respondent skipped this question

Q99 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

Q100 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

Q101 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 18: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q102 Country name **Respondent skipped this question**

Q103 Name of FNS **Respondent skipped this question**

Q104 Year of joining **Respondent skipped this question**

Q105 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **No**

Q106 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No**

Q107 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **No**

Q108 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **No**

Q109 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q110 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **No**

Q111 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **No**

Q112 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **No**

Q113 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **No**

Q114 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q115 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q116 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q117 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q118 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q119 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **No**

Page 19: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q120 Country name **Respondent skipped this question**

Q121 Name of FNS **Respondent skipped this question**

Q122 Year of joining **Respondent skipped this question**

Q123 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q124 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**
