

#31

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, October 20, 2018 12:22:10 AM
Last Modified: Saturday, October 20, 2018 12:43:09 AM
Time Spent: 00:20:59
IP Address: 80.252.76.18

Page 2: A. Institutional Information

Q1 1. Name of Partner, Working Group or Task Force

Baer's Pochard Task Force

Q2 2. Type of Partner

Respondent skipped this question

Q3 3. Name, title and contact details of designated contact person(s) Person 1

Name	Prof. DING Changqing
Position	Chair
Address	Beijing Forestry University
Email	cqding@bjfu.edu.cn

Q4 Person 2 (if needed)

Name	Richard HEARN
Position	Global Coordinator
Address	WWT Slimbridge, Gloucestershire GL2 7BT, UK
Email	richard.hearn@wwt.org.uk
Phone	+44 (0)1453891185

Q5 Person 3 (if needed)

Respondent skipped this question

Q6 Person 4 (if needed)

Respondent skipped this question

Q7 4. Name, title and contact details of the person completing this form

Name	Richard HEARN
Position	Global Coordinator
Address	WWT Slimbridge, Gloucestershire GL2 7BT, UK
Email	richard.hearn@wwt.org.uk
Phone	+44 (0)1453891185

Page 3: B. General Summary of Implementation:Progress and Challenges

Q8 1. What have been the most successful aspects of your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- a **The international workshop on the conservation of the Baer's Pochard, held in Hengshui, Hebei Province, China, in March 2018**
 - b **The development of the research and monitoring programme coordinated by Beijing Forestry University**
 - c **The conservation actions undertaken at Hengshui Lake, including ecological research and the management of activities impacting Baer's Pochard (e.g. disturbance and illegal fishing), carried out by a range of partners including Hengshui Hu National Nature Reserve, Hengshui city government, Hengshui University and KfW**
 - d **The monitoring and conservation programme in central Myanmar, overseen by BANCA**
 - e **The adoption of the Baer's Pochard Action Plan by CMS Parties at COP12**
-

Q9 2. What have been the main challenges in your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- a **Lack of key knowledge, e.g. population distribution and abundance, ecological requirements and threats**
 - b **Lack of awareness of the needs of Baer's Pochard conservation**
 - c **Lack of resources**
-

Q10 3. What are the future priorities for your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- | | |
|---|--|
| a | To locate all breeding, wintering and stop over sites being used by Baer's Pochard |
| b | To ensure all sites used by Baer's Pochard are protected and appropriately managed |
| c | To improve understanding of the ecological requirements of Baer's Pochard |
| d | To improve understanding of the key threats impacting Baer's Pochard |
| e | To raise awareness of Baer's Pochard and develop sustained support for its conservation |
-

Q11 4. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from the EAAFP Secretariat?

The EAAFP secretariat could play a significant role in supporting awareness raising for Baer's Pochard conservation, and help in the identification of funding opportunities

Q12 5. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from other Partners, Working Groups or Task Forces?

No

Q13 6. Do you have any other general comments on implementation of the Partnership?

No

Page 4: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q14 1.1.1 Have you been involved in developing a list of internationally important sites to support the life cycles of the Flyway's waterbirds? (Secretariat, WGs, INGOs and/or Monitoring Task Force) **No**

Q15 1.1.2 Have you been involved in prioritization of the above sites (1.1) for nomination in the FSN and to review/revise this list at each successive MoP? (Secretariat / Consultant / Monitoring Task Force) **No**

Q16 1.1.3a How many new Flyway Network Sites have you been involved in designating since the last reporting period? (National Government Partners) **Not applicable**

Q17 1.1.3b How many new Flyway Network Sites do you intend to designate between MOP10 and MOP11? (National Government Partners) **Not applicable**

Page 5: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q18 1.2.1 Have you helped to make available management guidelines and case studies to enhance the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats, emphasizing participatory management processes and benefits to local communities? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, with support from all Partners) **No**

Q19 1.2.2a During the reporting period, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds have you been involved in developing, implementing, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs) **Not applicable**

Q20 1.2.2b Between now and MOP11, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds do you intend to develop, implement, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs) **Yes (please indicate the number of management plans in optional text box below)**
 ,
 Optional text box for further information:
 Two - Hengshui Lake (China) and Pyu Lake (Myanmar)

Q21 1.2.3 Have you helped to develop collaboration with other international initiatives relating to the management of migratory waterbird habitat? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners) **Yes,**
 Optional text box for further information:
 At Hengshui Lake, Hebei, China

Q22 1.2.4 Have you helped to develop model projects at Flyway Network Sites to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs, and contributing to sound integration of wetland biodiversity conservation and sustainable development that benefits local communities? (National Government Partners) **Not applicable**

Page 6: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q23 1.3.1 Are you working to mainstream migratory waterbird conservation into national policies, plans, and programmes, facilitated by National Partnerships? (National Government Partners)

Yes,

Optional text box for further information:

Working to support the uplisting of Baer's Pochard to Class 1 protection status in China

Q24 1.3.2 Have you been working to adapt and make available guidelines and case studies on development and impact assessment processes relevant to network sites and their catchments? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners)

No

Page 7: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q25 2.4.1 Are you using the flyway-wide CEPA strategy at national and site levels as ongoing guidance for the awareness and communication activities of the Flyway Partnership? (All Partners)

No

Q26 2.4.2 Have you been involved in the production of CEPA resources and have made these available to the Secretariat for distribution more widely? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, supported by all Partners)

Yes,

Optional text box for further information:

We have produced Baer's Pochard pin badges in collaboration with the EAAFP Secretariat

Page 8: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q27 2.5.1 Are your Partnership activities being implemented in line with the CEPA Strategy?

No

Q28 2.5.2a Are you working to support the development and strengthening of national and sub-regional partnerships as mechanisms for delivery of the EAAFP Implementation Strategy?

Partly,

Optional text box for further information:

We are working with regional decision-makers in China and Myanmar, particularly regarding the management of key sites for Baer's Pochard

Q29 2.5.2b If there are national and/or sub-regional partnerships, please indicate the number of meetings that have been held since the last reporting period

Not applicable

Page 9: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q30 3.6.1 Have you been involved in collaboration and integration activities to increase assessment and monitoring programmes to provide scientifically sound information on the status and trends of migratory waterbird populations?

Yes,

Optional text box for further information:

Coordinated surveys of Baer's Pochard are now taking place annually at all known sites

Q31 3.6.2 Have you been involved in developing wetland assessment programmes for any of the Task Force priority regions to provide information on the status of migratory waterbird habitats?

No

Q32 3.6.3 Have you been involved in gathering updated information on the status and threats to internationally important sites and to Flyway Network sites in particular?

Yes,

Optional text box for further information:

We have some information on threats to some sites used by Baer's Pochard, particularly at Hengshui Lake

Q33 3.6.4 Have you been involved in identifying the key threatening processes to migratory waterbirds in the EAAF, and compiling technical briefs for the EAAFP website to illustrate examples of best practice mitigation measures?

No

Page 10: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q34 3.7.1 Have you been involved in providing input or analyzing count data to identify internationally important sites and gaps for migratory waterbirds in the Flyway and inform conservation measures? (Wetlands International and BirdLife International, with input from National Government Partners and Working Groups)

No

Q35 3.7.2 Have you been involved in surveys to prioritize knowledge gaps at internationally important sites and to progressively address those gaps? (National Government Partners, EAAFP WGs)

Yes,

Optional text box for further information:

We have developed coordinated surveys of known Baer's Pochard sites, usually conducted in early spring

Q36 3.7.3 Have you been involved in making data available on internationally important sites and share that data amongst Partners, such as through the EAAFP website? (Secretariat, other Partners)

Yes

Page 11: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q37 3.8.1 Have you been involved in implementing international collaborative research projects for migratory waterbirds to better understand the connectivity across the Flyway and inform development of the Flyway Site Network? (All Partners) **No**

Q38 3.8.2 Have you been involved in improving the knowledge base on migratory waterbirds for the three priority subregions, i.e. the Yellow Sea and Amur/Heilong Basin? (Task Forces for these regions, including relevant Government Partners) **Not applicable**

Q39 3.8.3 Have you been involved in reviewing and developing the Migratory Waterbird Marking Protocols for migratory waterbirds? (Task Force on Colour Marking) **Not applicable**

Page 12: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q40 3.9.1 Have you been involved in international collaborative projects of surveillance programmes at Flyway Network Sites and other important sites to increase the understanding of avian influenza (and other relevant zoonotic diseases) and their impacts on migratory waterbirds? (Partners, APWG-MWAI) **Not applicable**

Q41 3.9.2 Have you been involved in enhancing the network for communication on migratory waterbirds and disease related-issues amongst environment and wildlife agencies and researchers, and other relevant partners? (APWG-MWAI) **Not applicable**

Q42 3.9.3 Have you been involved in the dissemination, review or update of guidelines for improved management planning of wetlands of international importance for waterbirds, aimed at reducing the risk of disease? (Partners, APWG-MWAI) **Not applicable**

Page 13: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q43 4.10.1 Have you been involved in capacity development and training programmes that address needs in the areas of migratory waterbird monitoring and conservation, habitat management, sustainable development, and communication, education and public awareness, and participatory (CEPA) methods? (All Partners)

Yes, migratory waterbird monitoring training programme (if 'Yes', please mention the number in the text box below)

,

Yes, migratory waterbird conservation training programme (if 'Yes', please mention the number in the text box below)

,

Yes, habitat management training programme (if 'Yes', please mention the number in the text box below)

,

Yes, sustainable development training programme (if 'Yes', please mention the number in the text box below)

,

Optional text box for further information:

We have developed capacity at specific sites (Hengshui Lake, China and Pyu Lake, Myanmar) through the implementation of projects, but have not conducted training courses

Q44 4.10.2 Have you been involved in establishing site based or national capacity building programmes to facilitate the ongoing management of migratory waterbirds and their habitats? (National Partners)

No

Page 14: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q45 5.11.1 Have you been involved in developing new, or ongoing collaborative projects across the ranges of priority species of migratory waterbirds? (All Partners)

Yes (Please indicate the number of such projects, including sister-site projects)

,

Optional text box for further information:

Coordinated census of Baer's Pochard

Q46 5.11.2 Have you been involved in producing or implementing International Single Species Action Plans for globally threatened species and populations of migratory waterbirds to act as a flagship for wetland conservation? (All Partners)

Yes

Page 15: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q47 Country name

Respondent skipped this question