

#7

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, August 25, 2018 1:50:50 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, August 28, 2018 12:44:13 PM
Time Spent: Over a day
IP Address: 110.54.240.50

Page 2: A. Institutional Information

Q1 1. Name of Partner, Working Group or Task Force

ASEAN Centre for Biodiversity

Q2 2. Type of Partner

Inter-Governmental Organisations

Q3 3. Name, title and contact details of designated contact person(s) Person 1

Name	Sheila Vergara
Position	Director for Biodiversity Information Management
Address	ASEAN Centre for Biodiversity, Domingo M. Lantican Avenue, College, Laguna 4031, Philippines
Email	sgvergara@aseanbiodiversity.org
Phone	+6349 536 2865

Q4 Person 2 (if needed)

Name	Claudia Binondo
Position	Project Development Officer
Address	ASEAN Centre for Biodiversity, Domingo M. Lantican Avenue, College, Laguna 4031, Philippines
Email	cbbinondo@aseanbiodiversity.org
Phone	+6349 536 2865

Q5 Person 3 (if needed)

Respondent skipped this question

Q6 Person 4 (if needed)

Respondent skipped this question

Q7 4. Name, title and contact details of the person completing this form

Name	Claudia Binondo
Position	Project Development Officer
Address	ASEAN Centre for Biodiversity, Domingo M. Lantican Avenue, College, Laguna 4031, Philippines
Email	cbbinondo@aseanbiodiversity.org
Phone	+6349 536 2865

Page 3: B. General Summary of Implementation:Progress and Challenges

Q8 1. What have been the most successful aspects of your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- a **The partnership has been instrumental in the development of the Project, "Improving Biodiversity Conservation of Wetlands and Migratory Waterbirds in the ASEAN Region" (Flyway Project) with Phase I endorsed by the ASEAN and approved for funding support by the Japan-ASEAN Integration Fund (JAIF)**
 - b **Establishment of the ASEAN Flyway Network as part of the major outputs of the Flyway Project**
 - c **Encouraged active participation to the EAAF initiatives of non-EAAFP ASEAN member states, which are also crucial stakeholders of the Flyway, such as Brunei and Lao PDR, through the ASEAN Flyway Network.**
 - d **Initiated the process of identifying and developing flyway sites of international importance through the Flyway Project**
 - e **Having an experts' network in the EAAFP has been advantageous to ASEAN in improving the approaches towards an effective conservation and management of migratory waterbirds and their habitats in the EAAF**
-

Q9 2. What have been the main challenges in your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- a **A more regular updating and discussion of crucial aspects in implementing the FSN activities can be a challenge as the Flyway Project can only provide one major meeting per year to gather the ASEAN Flyway Network focal point.**
-

Q10 3. What are the future priorities for your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

a

Build on what has been initiated by the Flyway Project in ASEAN by enhancing local experts' support and developing the Phase II of the Flyway Project

Q11 4. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from the EAAFP Secretariat?

Support in terms of complementing/reinforcing the ASEAN Flyway Network and the Flyway Project through the development of Phase II and other initiatives that would strengthen the EAAFP efforts in the ASEAN

Q12 5. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from other Partners, Working Groups or Task Forces?

Support from the experts of the migratory waterbirds population and sites to reinforce/improve monitoring and studies in the ASEAN region of the EAAF.

Q13 6. Do you have any other general comments on implementation of the Partnership?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q14 1.1.1 Have you been involved in developing a list of internationally important sites to support the life cycles of the Flyway's waterbirds? (Secretariat, WGs, INGOs and/or Monitoring Task Force)

Yes,
Optional text box for further information:
Through the Flyway Project and the ASEAN Flyway Network

Q15 1.1.2 Have you been involved in prioritization of the above sites (1.1) for nomination in the FSN and to review/revise this list at each successive MoP? (Secretariat / Consultant / Monitoring Task Force)

Yes,
Optional text box for further information:
Part of the Flyway Project is to support countries in revisiting their FSN list and implementation of the process requisite for the nomination

Q16 1.1.3a How many new Flyway Network Sites have you been involved in designating since the last reporting period? (National Government Partners)

Not applicable

Q17 1.1.3b How many new Flyway Network Sites do you intend to designate between MOP10 and MOP11? (National Government Partners)

Not applicable

Page 5: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q18 1.2.1 Have you helped to make available management guidelines and case studies to enhance the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats, emphasizing participatory management processes and benefits to local communities? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, with support from all Partners)

Yes,

Optional text box for further information:

Through the Flyway Project, national coordinating mechanisms are being established to enhance the conservation of the migratory waterbirds and their sites. The coordination ensures participation of all local stakeholders including experts.

Q19 1.2.2a During the reporting period, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds have you been involved in developing, implementing, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs)

Not applicable

Q20 1.2.2b Between now and MOP11, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds do you intend to develop, implement, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs)

Yes (please indicate the number of management plans in optional text box below)

,

Optional text box for further information:

One draft management plan for the conservation and management of the migratory waterbirds and their habitats in the ASEAN region to inform capacity-building and CEPA strategies both at the regional and national levels

Q21 1.2.3 Have you helped to develop collaboration with other international initiatives relating to the management of migratory waterbird habitat? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners)

Yes,

Optional text box for further information:

Through the Flyway Project, ACB has strengthened collaboration with 10 AMS particularly Singapore and the Sungei Buloh Wetland Reserve and with other INGOs, i.e. Wetlands International and the EAAFP Sec

Q22 1.2.4 Have you helped to develop model projects at Flyway Network Sites to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs, and contributing to sound integration of wetland biodiversity conservation and sustainable development that benefits local communities? (National Government Partners)

Not applicable

Q23 1.3.1 Are you working to mainstream migratory waterbird conservation into national policies, plans, and programmes, facilitated by National Partnerships? (National Government Partners)

Not applicable

Q24 1.3.2 Have you been working to adapt and make available guidelines and case studies on development and impact assessment processes relevant to network sites and their catchments? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners)

No

Page 7: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q25 2.4.1 Are you using the flyway-wide CEPA strategy at national and site levels as ongoing guidance for the awareness and communication activities of the Flyway Partnership? (All Partners)

Partly,

Optional text box for further information:

Partly because of the Flyway Project; but will be more applicable and relevant when Phase II proposal will be developed.

Q26 2.4.2 Have you been involved in the production of CEPA resources and have made these available to the Secretariat for distribution more widely? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, supported by all Partners)

No,

Optional text box for further information:

Not yet, but in the course of implementing the Flyway Project, documents knowledge products will be produced that could contribute to CEPA

Page 8: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q27 2.5.1 Are your Partnership activities being implemented in line with the CEPA Strategy?

Partly,

Optional text box for further information:

Through the Flyway Project, establishing a sound baseline information is linked to improving the knowledge on migratory waterbirds and their habitats in the ASEAN

Q28 2.5.2a Are you working to support the development and strengthening of national and sub-regional partnerships as mechanisms for delivery of the EAAFP Implementation Strategy?

Yes,

Optional text box for further information:

The ASEAN Flyway Network facilitates strong partnership in the ASEAN and among the site managers at the national level

Q29 2.5.2b If there are national and/or sub-regional partnerships, please indicate the number of meetings that have been held since the last reporting period

Number of national or sub-regional meetings held ,

Optional text box for further information:

One inaugural meeting last April 2018

Page 9: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q30 3.6.1 Have you been involved in collaboration and integration activities to increase assessment and monitoring programmes to provide scientifically sound information on the status and trends of migratory waterbird populations?

Yes,

Optional text box for further information:

Collaboration and integration of activities among FSNs in ASEAN through the Flyway Project

Q31 3.6.2 Have you been involved in developing wetland assessment programmes for any of the Task Force priority regions to provide information on the status of migratory waterbird habitats?

In progress ,

Optional text box for further information:

The Flyway Project plans to have a close coordination with the migratory waterbirds task force

Q32 3.6.3 Have you been involved in gathering updated information on the status and threats to internationally important sites and to Flyway Network sites in particular?

In progress ,

Optional text box for further information:

Through the Flyway Project

Q33 3.6.4 Have you been involved in identifying the key threatening processes to migratory waterbirds in the EAAF, and compiling technical briefs for the EAAFP website to illustrate examples of best practice mitigation measures?

In progress ,

Optional text box for further information:

Through the Flyway Project

Page 10: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q34 3.7.1 Have you been involved in providing input or analyzing count data to identify internationally important sites and gaps for migratory waterbirds in the Flyway and inform conservation measures? (Wetlands International and BirdLife International, with input from National Government Partners and Working Groups)

Not applicable

Q35 3.7.2 Have you been involved in surveys to prioritize knowledge gaps at internationally important sites and to progressively address those gaps? (National Government Partners, EAAFP WGs) **Not applicable**

Q36 3.7.3 Have you been involved in making data available on internationally important sites and share that data amongst Partners, such as through the EAAFP website? (Secretariat, other Partners) **In progress**

Optional text box for further information:
Through the Flyway Project

Page 11: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q37 3.8.1 Have you been involved in implementing international collaborative research projects for migratory waterbirds to better understand the connectivity across the Flyway and inform development of the Flyway Site Network? (All Partners) **In progress**

Optional text box for further information:
Improved monitoring and the baseline surveys are envisaged to support research projects and in understanding the status in the ASEAN part of the Flyway

Q38 3.8.2 Have you been involved in improving the knowledge base on migratory waterbirds for the three priority subregions, i.e. the Yellow Sea and Amur/Heilong Basin? (Task Forces for these regions, including relevant Government Partners) **Not applicable**

Q39 3.8.3 Have you been involved in reviewing and developing the Migratory Waterbird Marking Protocols for migratory waterbirds? (Task Force on Colour Marking) **Not applicable**

Page 12: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q40 3.9.1 Have you been involved in international collaborative projects of surveillance programmes at Flyway Network Sites and other important sites to increase the understanding of avian influenza (and other relevant zoonotic diseases) and their impacts on migratory waterbirds? (Partners, APWG-MWAI) **Not applicable**

Q41 3.9.2 Have you been involved in enhancing the network for communication on migratory waterbirds and disease related-issues amongst environment and wildlife agencies and researchers, and other relevant partners? (APWG-MWAI)

Not applicable

Q42 3.9.3 Have you been involved in the dissemination, review or update of guidelines for improved management planning of wetlands of international importance for waterbirds, aimed at reducing the risk of disease? (Partners, APWG-MWAI)

Not applicable

Page 13: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q43 4.10.1 Have you been involved in capacity development and training programmes that address needs in the areas of migratory waterbird monitoring and conservation, habitat management, sustainable development, and communication, education and public awareness, and participatory (CEPA) methods? (All Partners)

Not applicable,

Optional text box for further information:

The Flyway Project Phase II will tackle the CEPA

Q44 4.10.2 Have you been involved in establishing site based or national capacity building programmes to facilitate the ongoing management of migratory waterbirds and their habitats? (National Partners)

Not applicable

Page 14: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q45 5.11.1 Have you been involved in developing new, or ongoing collaborative projects across the ranges of priority species of migratory waterbirds? (All Partners)

Yes (Please indicate the number of such projects, including sister-site projects)

,

Optional text box for further information:

Improving Biodiversity Conservation of Wetlands and Migratory Waterbirds in the ASEAN Region – Phase 1

Q46 5.11.2 Have you been involved in producing or implementing International Single Species Action Plans for globally threatened species and populations of migratory waterbirds to act as a flagship for wetland conservation? (All Partners)

Not applicable

Page 15: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q47 Country name

Respondent skipped this question

Q48 Name of FNS	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q49 EAAFP Site Code	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q50 Year of joining	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q51 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q52 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q53 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q54 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q55 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q56 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q57 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q58 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q59 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q60 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	

Q61 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? Respondent skipped this question

Q62 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? Respondent skipped this question

Q63 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

Q64 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

Q65 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) Respondent skipped this question

Page 16: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q66 Country name Respondent skipped this question

Q67 Name of FNS Respondent skipped this question

Q68 Year of joining Respondent skipped this question

Q69 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Respondent skipped this question

Q70 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? Respondent skipped this question

Q71 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? Respondent skipped this question

Q72 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

Q73 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

Q74 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q75 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q76 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q77 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q78 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q79 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q80 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q81 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q82 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q83 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 17: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q84 Country name **Respondent skipped this question**

Q85 Name of FNS **Respondent skipped this question**

Q86 Year of joining	Respondent skipped this question
Q87 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?	Respondent skipped this question
Q88 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q89 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?	Respondent skipped this question
Q90 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q91 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q92 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q93 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q94 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q95 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q96 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q97 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question

Q98 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q99 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q100 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q101 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 18: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q102 Country name **Respondent skipped this question**

Q103 Name of FNS **Respondent skipped this question**

Q104 Year of joining **Respondent skipped this question**

Q105 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q106 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q107 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q108 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q109 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q110 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q111 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q112 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q113 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q114 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q115 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q116 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q117 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q118 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

Q119 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

Page 19: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q120 Country name

Respondent skipped this question

Q121 Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

Q122 Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

Q123 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Respondent skipped this question

Q124 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? Respondent skipped this question

Q125 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? Respondent skipped this question

Q126 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

Q127 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

Q128 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? Respondent skipped this question

Q129 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? Respondent skipped this question

Q130 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? Respondent skipped this question

Q131 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? Respondent skipped this question

Q132 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? Respondent skipped this question

Q133 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? Respondent skipped this question

Q134 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? Respondent skipped this question

Q135 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

Q136 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

Q137 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) Respondent skipped this question

Page 20: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q138 Country name Respondent skipped this question

Q139 Name of FNS Respondent skipped this question

Q140 Year of joining Respondent skipped this question

Q141 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Respondent skipped this question

Q142 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? Respondent skipped this question

Q143 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? Respondent skipped this question

Q144 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

Q145 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

Q146 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? Respondent skipped this question

Q147 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? Respondent skipped this question

Q148 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q149 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q150 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q151 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q152 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q153 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q154 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

Q155 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

Page 21: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q156 Country name

NA

Q157 Name of FNS

NA

Q158 Year of joining

NA

Q159 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **No**

Q160 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No**

Q161 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **No**

Q162 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **No**

Q163 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

NA

Q164 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **No**

Q165 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **No**

Q166 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **No**

Q167 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **No**

Q168 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q169 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q170 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q171 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q172 13. Additional information

NA

Q173 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **No**

Page 22: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q174 Country name **Respondent skipped this question**

Q175 Name of FNS **Respondent skipped this question**

Q176 Year of joining **Respondent skipped this question**

Q177 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q178 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q179 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q180 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q181 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q182 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q183 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**