#18

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Monday, September 17, 2018 10:58:10 AM
Last Modified:	Monday, September 17, 2018 1:35:43 PM
Time Spent:	02:37:33
IP Address:	72.42.169.194

Page 2: A. Institutional Information

Q1 1. Name of Partner, Working Group or Task Force

Seabird Working Group

Q2 2. Type of Partner

National Governments

Q3 3. Name, title and contact details of designated contact person(s)Person 1

Name	Robert (Robb) Kaler
Position	Seabird Working Group Chair
Address	US Fish and Wildlife Service, 1011 East Tudor Road, Anchorage AK 99503
Email	robert_kaler@fws.gov
Phone	+1 907 786 3984

Q4 Person 2 (if needed)

Name	Yat-tung Yu
Position	Seabird Working Group Co-Coordinator
Address	7C, V Ga Building, 532 Castle Peak Road, Lai Chi Kik, Kowloon, Hong Kong
Email	bfspoonbill@hkbws.rog.hk
Phone	+852 2377 4387

Q5 Person 3 (if needed)

Name	Dr. Mark Carey
Position	Seabird Working Group Co-Coordinator
Address	Department of the Environment, Australian Government
Email	mark.carey@environment.gov.au
Phone	+61 6274 1873
Q6 Person 4 (if needed)	Respondent skipped this question
Q7 4. Name, title and contact details of the person completing this form	Respondent skipped this question

Page 3: B. General Summary of Implementation: Progress and Challenges

Q8 1. What have been the most successful aspects of your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

a	Improving communication among stakeholders and country partners
b	Developing information sheets on "terns of concern" for the EAAFP (in progress)
C	Engaging younger generation of waterbird/seabird enthusiasts
d	Building collaboration with national and international partners

Q9 2. What have been the main challenges in your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

a	Obtaining external funding to carry out objectives (e.g., seabird colony register)
b	Turnover in Seabird Working Group membership owing to voluntary framework of EAAFP
c	Dedicated staff support to carry out bi-annual plans

Q10 3. What are the future priorities for your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

a	Improve/increase communication among stakeholders and partners in developing future priorities
b	Improve coordination among Working Group members and other EAAFP Task Forces and Working Groups
c	Successfully obtain funding to implement seabird colony register with EAAFP colonies

Q11 4. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from the EAAFP Secretariat?

Additional time dedicated to Working Groups and Task Forces during the annual MOPs. Additional support (funds) to hold teleconference calls (e.g., international conference call support).

Q12 5. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from other Partners, Working Groups or Task Forces?

Improved communication and coordination among partners, working groups, and task forces

Q13 6. Do you have any other general comments on implementation of the Partnership?

We appreciate the great efforts of the Secretariat to help conserve EAAFP waterbirds. Making MOPs more effective is crucial to the intersessional work conducted by partners, working groups, and task forces. Reducing sessions of "reporting out" regarding country reports is unnecessary and could be better used.

Page 4: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation	
Q14 1.1.1 Have you been involved in developing a list of internationally important sites to support the life cycles of the Flyway's waterbirds? (Secretariat, WGs, INGOs	Yes

and/or Monitoring Task Force)

Q15 1.1.2 Have you been involved in prioritization of the above sites (1.1) for nomination in the FSN and to review/revise this list at each successive MoP? (Secretariat / Consultant / Monitoring Task Force)

Q16 1.1.3a How many new Flyway Network Sites have you been involved in designating since the last reporting period? (National Government Partners)	Not applicable
Q17 1.1.3b How many new Flyway Network Sites do you intend to designate between MOP10 and MOP11? (National Government Partners)	Please indicate number in optional text box , below Optional text box for further information:
	mornadon.
	2

Page 5: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q18 1.2.1 Have you helped to make available management guidelines and case studies to enhance the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats, emphasizing participatory management processes and benefits to local communities? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, with support from all Partners)	No
Q19 1.2.2a During the reporting period, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds have you been involved in developing, implementing, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs)	No
Q20 1.2.2b Between now and MOP11, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds do you intend to develop, implement, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs)	Yes (please indicate the number of management plans in optional text box below) , Optional text box for further information: 2
Q21 1.2.3 Have you helped to develop collaboration with other international initiatives relating to the management of migratory waterbird habitat? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners)	No
Q22 1.2.4 Have you helped to develop model projects at Flyway Network Sites to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs, and contributing to sound integration of wetland biodiversity conservation and sustainable development that benefits local communities? (National Government Partners)	No
Page 6: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future i	mplementation
Q23 1.3.1 Are you working to mainstream migratory waterbird conservation into national policies, plans, and programmes, facilitated by National Partnerships? (National Government Partners)	Yes
Q24 1.3.2 Have you been working to adapt and make available guidelines and case studies on development and impact assessment processes relevant to network sites and their catchments? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners)	No

Page 7: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q25 2.4.1 Are you using the flyway-wide CEPA strategy at national and site levels as ongoing guidance for the awareness and communication activities of the Flyway Partnership? (All Partners)	Yes	
Q26 2.4.2 Have you been involved in the production of CEPA resources and have made these available to the Secretariat for distribution more widely? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, supported by all Partners)	Νο	
Page 8: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future in	mplementation	
Q27 2.5.1 Are your Partnership activities being implemented in line with the CEPA Strategy?	Yes	
Q28 2.5.2a Are you working to support the development and strengthening of national and sub-regional partnerships as mechanisms for delivery of the EAAFP Implementation Strategy?	Yes	
Q29 2.5.2b If there are national and/or sub-regional partnerships, please indicate the number of meetings that have been held since the last reporting period	Number of national or sub-regional meetings , held Optional text box for further information: 2	
Page 9: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation		
Q30 3.6.1 Have you been involved in collaboration and integration activities to increase assessment and monitoring programmes to provide scientifically sound information on the status and trends of migratory waterbird populations?	Yes	
Q31 3.6.2 Have you been involved in developing wetland assessment programmes for any of the Task Force priority regions to provide information on the status of migratory waterbird habitats?	Νο	

Q33 3.6.4 Have you been involved in identifying the key threatening processes to migratory waterbirds in the EAAF, and compiling technical briefs for the EAAFP website to illustrate examples of best practice mitigation measures?

Page 10: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q34 3.7.1 Have you been involved in providing input or analyzing count data to identify internationally important sites and gaps for migratory waterbirds in the Flyway and inform conservation measures? (Wetlands International and BirdLife International, with input from National Government Partners and Working Groups)	Yes
Q35 3.7.2 Have you been involved in surveys to prioritize knowledge gaps at internationally important sites and to progressively address those gaps? (National Government Partners, EAAFP WGs)	Yes
Q36 3.7.3 Have you been involved in making data available on internationally important sites and share that data amongst Partners, such as through the EAAFP website? (Secretariat, other Partners)	Yes
Page 11: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future	implementation
Q37 3.8.1 Have you been involved in implementing international collaborative research projects for migratory waterbirds to better understand the connectivity across the Flyway and inform development of the Flyway Site Network? (All Partners)	Yes
Q38 3.8.2 Have you been involved in improving the knowledge base on migratory waterbirds for the three priority subregions, i.e. the Yellow Sea and Amur/Heilong Basin? (Task Forces for these regions, including relevant Government Partners)	No
Q39 3.8.3 Have you been involved in reviewing and developing the Migratory Waterbird Marking Protocols for migratory waterbirds? (Task Force on Colour Marking)	No

Page 12: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q40 3.9.1 Have you been involved in international collaborative projects of surveillance programmes at Flyway Network Sites and other important sites to increase the understanding of avian influenza (and other relevant zoonotic diseases) and their impacts on migratory waterbirds? (Partners, APWG-MWAI)	No
Q41 3.9.2 Have you been involved in enhancing the network for communication on migratory waterbirds and disease related-issues amongst environment and wildlife agencies and researchers, and other relevant partners? (APWG-MWAI)	In progress
Q42 3.9.3 Have you been involved in the dissemination, review or update of guidelines for improved management planning of wetlands of international importance for waterbirds, aimed at reducing the risk of disease? (Partners, APWG-MWAI)	No
Page 13: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future	implementation
Q43 4.10.1 Have you been involved in capacity development and training programmes that address needs in the areas of migratory waterbird monitoring and conservation, habitat management, sustainable development, and communication, education and public awareness, and participatory (CEPA) methods? (All Partners)	Yes, migratory waterbird conservation training programme (if 'Yes', please mention the number in the text box below) , Optional text box for further information: 2
Q44 4.10.2 Have you been involved in establishing site based or national capacity building programmes to facilitate the ongoing management of migratory waterbirds and their habitats? (National Partners)	Respondent skipped this question
Page 14: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future	implementation
Q45 5.11.1 Have you been involved in developing new, or ongoing collaborative projects across the ranges of priority species of migratory waterbirds? (All Partners)	ln progress
Q46 5.11.2 Have you been involved in producing or implementing International Single Species Action Plans for globally threatened species and populations of migratory waterbirds to act as a flagship for wetland conservation? (All Partners)	Νο

Page 15: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q47 Country name	Respondent skipped this question
Q48 Name of FNS	Respondent skipped this question
Q49 EAAFP Site Code	Respondent skipped this question
Q50 Year of joining	Respondent skipped this question
Q51 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?	Respondent skipped this question
Q52 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q53 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?	Respondent skipped this question
Q54 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q55 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q56 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q57 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q58 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q59 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	Respondent skipped this question

Q60 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q61 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q62 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q63 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q64 13. Additional information	Respondent skipped this question
Q65 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)	Respondent skipped this question
Page 16: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flywa	y Network Sites
Page 16: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flywa Q66 Country name	y Network Sites Respondent skipped this question
	-
Q66 Country name	Respondent skipped this question
Q66 Country name Q67 Name of FNS	Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question
Q66 Country name Q67 Name of FNS Q68 Year of joining	Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question
Q66 Country name Q67 Name of FNS Q68 Year of joining Q69 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Q70 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS	Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question

Q73 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q74 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q75 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q76 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q77 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q78 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q79 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q80 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q81 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q82 13. Additional information	Respondent skipped this question
Q83 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)	Respondent skipped this question

Page 17: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q84 Country name	Respondent skipped this question
Q85 Name of FNS	Respondent skipped this question
Q86 Year of joining	Respondent skipped this question
Q87 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?	Respondent skipped this question
Q88 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q89 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?	Respondent skipped this question
Q90 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q91 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q92 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q93 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q94 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q95 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q96 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question

Q97 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q98 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q99 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q100 13. Additional information	Respondent skipped this question
Q101 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)	Respondent skipped this question
Page 18: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flywa	y Network Sites
Q102 Country name	Respondent skipped this question
Q103 Name of FNS	Respondent skipped this question
Q103 Name of FNS Q104 Year of joining	Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question
Q104 Year of joining	Respondent skipped this question
 Q104 Year of joining Q105 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Q106 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS 	Respondent skipped this question
 Q104 Year of joining Q105 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Q106 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? Q107 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and 	Respondent skipped this question No No

Q111 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Νο
Q112 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	Νο
Q113 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	Νο
Q114 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Νο
Q115 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Νο
Q116 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?	No
Q117 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?	Νο
Q118 13. Additional information	Respondent skipped this question
Q119 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)	Respondent skipped this question

Page 19: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q120 Country name

NA

Q121 Name of FNS

NA

Q122 Year of joining

NA

Q123 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?	Respondent skipped this question
Q124 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q125 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?	Respondent skipped this question
Q126 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q127 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q128 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q129 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q130 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q131 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q132 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question

Q133 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q134 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q135 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q136 13. Additional information	Respondent skipped this question
Q137 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)	Respondent skipped this question
Page 20: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flywa	y Network Sites
Q138 Country name	
NA	
Q139 Name of FNS	
NA	
Q140 Year of joining	
NA	
Q141 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?	Νο
Q142 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?	Νο
Q143 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?	Νο

Q144 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	No
Q145 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q146 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	No
Q147 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Νο
Q148 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	No
Q149 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	No
Q150 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	No
Q151 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	No
Q152 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?	No
Q153 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?	Νο
Q154 13. Additional information	Respondent skipped this question
Q155 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)	Respondent skipped this question

Page 21: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q156 Country name	Respondent skipped this question
Q157 Name of FNS	Respondent skipped this question
Q158 Year of joining	Respondent skipped this question
Q159 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?	Respondent skipped this question
Q160 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q161 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?	Respondent skipped this question
Q162 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q163 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q164 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q165 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q166 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q167 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q168 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question

SurveyMonkey

Q169 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q170 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q171 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q172 13. Additional information	Respondent skipped this question
Q173 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)	Νο
Page 22: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flywa	y Network Sites
Q174 Country name	Respondent skipped this question
Q175 Name of FNS	Respondent skipped this question
Q175 Name of FNS Q176 Year of joining	Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question
Q176 Year of joining	Respondent skipped this question
 Q176 Year of joining Q177 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Q178 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS 	Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question
 Q176 Year of joining Q177 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Q178 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? Q179 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and 	Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question