

#18

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, September 16, 2018 11:26:11 PM
Last Modified: Monday, September 17, 2018 12:26:21 AM
Time Spent: 01:00:10
IP Address: 62.202.181.6

Page 2: A. Institutional Information

Q1 1. Name of Partner, Working Group or Task Force

CEPA Working Group

Q2 2. Type of Partner

Respondent skipped this question

Q3 3. Name, title and contact details of designated contact person(s)Person 1

Name	Sandra Hails-Downie
Position	Chair, CEPA WG
Address	Ch Du Carroz-Delay 10, 1264 St-Cergue, Switzerland
Email	shails@bluewin.ch
Phone	+41 786388164

Q4 Person 2 (if needed)

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Person 3 (if needed)

Respondent skipped this question

Q6 Person 4 (if needed)

Respondent skipped this question

Q7 4. Name, title and contact details of the person completing this form

Name	As above
------	-----------------

Page 3: B. General Summary of Implementation:Progress and Challenges

Q8 1. What have been the most successful aspects of your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- a **The development, management and reporting of the interactive sessions during MOP9 .**
 - b **The finalisation of the Plan CEPA Strategy and Action as agreed at MOP9 and its launch through the EAAFP newsletter and the EAAFP website**
 - c **The updating of the paper on Benefits and Expectations of the East Asian-Australasian Flyway Site Network and sharing it with implementers through the EAAFP website and newsletter.**
 - d **Organising, in collaboration with the Secretariat and the Society for the Conservation of Philippine Wetlands, a workshop for CEPA practitioners across the Flyway in October 2018.**
 - e **Assisting the Secretariat's Communication Officer with the assessment of WBMD annual art competition.**
-

Q9 2. What have been the main challenges in your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- a **Lack of time on the part of WG members to do more individually and collectively to promote CEPA actions across the Flyway.**
 - b **Overcoming the challenge, common to all WGs and TFs of working together remotely.**
-

Q10 3. What are the future priorities for your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- a **Identifying and implementing effective mechanisms and actions to encourage the implementation of the CEPA Strategy and Action Plan across the Flyway.**
 - b **Making more effective and more frequent use of the EAAFP newsletter to raise awareness about CEPA actions and materials that promote improved implementation of the Flyway agreement.**
-

Q11 4. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from the EAAFP Secretariat?

Sustain the good communication between the CEPA WG and the EAAFP CEPA Officer and other Secretariat Staff as appropriate, to help coordinate CEPA activity across the Flyway.

Q12 5. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from other Partners, Working Groups or Task Forces?

If the CEPA Strategy and Action Plan is to be effectively implemented, the Partners and the Secretariat must take the time to review the document, identify areas where their action is required and take appropriate action.

Q13 6. Do you have any other general comments on implementation of the Partnership?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q14 1.1.1 Have you been involved in developing a list of internationally important sites to support the life cycles of the Flyway’s waterbirds? (Secretariat, WGs, INGOs and/or Monitoring Task Force)

Not applicable

Q15 1.1.2 Have you been involved in prioritization of the above sites (1.1) for nomination in the FSN and to review/revise this list at each successive MoP? (Secretariat / Consultant / Monitoring Task Force)

Not applicable

Q16 1.1.3a How many new Flyway Network Sites have you been involved in designating since the last reporting period? (National Government Partners)

Not applicable

Q17 1.1.3b How many new Flyway Network Sites do you intend to designate between MOP10 and MOP11? (National Government Partners)

Not applicable

Page 5: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q18 1.2.1 Have you helped to make available management guidelines and case studies to enhance the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats, emphasizing participatory management processes and benefits to local communities? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, with support from all Partners)

Yes,

Optional text box for further information:

1. We have used the EAAFP newsletter to raise awareness about recent publications on various CEPA actions that can benefit the management of Flyway Sites 2. Case studies on successful CEPA interventions from the CEPA workshop to be held in October 2018 will be made available on the EAAFP website.

Q19 1.2.2a During the reporting period, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds have you been involved in developing, implementing, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs)

Not applicable

Q20 1.2.2b Between now and MOP11, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds do you intend to develop, implement, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs)

Not applicable

Q21 1.2.3 Have you helped to develop collaboration with other international initiatives relating to the management of migratory waterbird habitat? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners)

Not applicable

Q22 1.2.4 Have you helped to develop model projects at Flyway Network Sites to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs, and contributing to sound integration of wetland biodiversity conservation and sustainable development that benefits local communities? (National Government Partners)

Not applicable

Page 6: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q23 1.3.1 Are you working to mainstream migratory waterbird conservation into national policies, plans, and programmes, facilitated by National Partnerships? (National Government Partners)

Not applicable

Q24 1.3.2 Have you been working to adapt and make available guidelines and case studies on development and impact assessment processes relevant to network sites and their catchments? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners)

Not applicable

Page 7: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q25 2.4.1 Are you using the flyway-wide CEPA strategy at national and site levels as ongoing guidance for the awareness and communication activities of the Flyway Partnership? (All Partners)

Yes,

Optional text box for further information:

The CEPA WG will undertake several CEPA actions identified as CEPA WG tasks in the CEPA Strategy and Action Plan.

Q26 2.4.2 Have you been involved in the production of CEPA resources and have made these available to the Secretariat for distribution more widely? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, supported by all Partners)

Yes,

Optional text box for further information:

(1) The CEPA WG has used the newsletter and the CEPA web pages to raise awareness of a number of relevant CEPA resources for Flyway implementers. (2) The document produced by the CEPA WG on the 'Benefits and Expectations of the EAAFP Flyway Site Network' has been updated and made available to all Partners through the website and newsletter. 3. The CEPA Strategy and Action Plan 2017- 2021 was finalised and launched through the website and the Flyway newsletter.

Page 8: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q27 2.5.1 Are your Partnership activities being implemented in line with the CEPA Strategy?

Not applicable

Q28 2.5.2a Are you working to support the development and strengthening of national and sub-regional partnerships as mechanisms for delivery of the EAAFP Implementation Strategy?

Not applicable

Q29 2.5.2b If there are national and/or sub-regional partnerships, please indicate the number of meetings that have been held since the last reporting period

Not applicable

Page 9: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q30 3.6.1 Have you been involved in collaboration and integration activities to increase assessment and monitoring programmes to provide scientifically sound information on the status and trends of migratory waterbird populations?

Not applicable

Q31 3.6.2 Have you been involved in developing wetland assessment programmes for any of the Task Force priority regions to provide information on the status of migratory waterbird habitats? **Not applicable**

Q32 3.6.3 Have you been involved in gathering updated information on the status and threats to internationally important sites and to Flyway Network sites in particular? **Not applicable**

Q33 3.6.4 Have you been involved in identifying the key threatening processes to migratory waterbirds in the EAAF, and compiling technical briefs for the EAAF website to illustrate examples of best practice mitigation measures? **Not applicable**

Page 10: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q34 3.7.1 Have you been involved in providing input or analyzing count data to identify internationally important sites and gaps for migratory waterbirds in the Flyway and inform conservation measures? (Wetlands International and BirdLife International, with input from National Government Partners and Working Groups) **Not applicable**

Q35 3.7.2 Have you been involved in surveys to prioritize knowledge gaps at internationally important sites and to progressively address those gaps? (National Government Partners, EAAF WGs) **No**

Q36 3.7.3 Have you been involved in making data available on internationally important sites and share that data amongst Partners, such as through the EAAF website? (Secretariat, other Partners) **Not applicable**

Page 11: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q37 3.8.1 Have you been involved in implementing international collaborative research projects for migratory waterbirds to better understand the connectivity across the Flyway and inform development of the Flyway Site Network? (All Partners) **Not applicable**

Q38 3.8.2 Have you been involved in improving the knowledge base on migratory waterbirds for the three priority subregions, i.e. the Yellow Sea and Amur/Heilong Basin? (Task Forces for these regions, including relevant Government Partners) **Respondent skipped this question**

Q39 3.8.3 Have you been involved in reviewing and developing the Migratory Waterbird Marking Protocols for migratory waterbirds? (Task Force on Colour Marking)

Not applicable

Page 12: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q40 3.9.1 Have you been involved in international collaborative projects of surveillance programmes at Flyway Network Sites and other important sites to increase the understanding of avian influenza (and other relevant zoonotic diseases) and their impacts on migratory waterbirds? (Partners, APWG-MWAI)

Not applicable

Q41 3.9.2 Have you been involved in enhancing the network for communication on migratory waterbirds and disease related-issues amongst environment and wildlife agencies and researchers, and other relevant partners? (APWG-MWAI)

Not applicable

Q42 3.9.3 Have you been involved in the dissemination, review or update of guidelines for improved management planning of wetlands of international importance for waterbirds, aimed at reducing the risk of disease? (Partners, APWG-MWAI)

Not applicable

Page 13: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q43 4.10.1 Have you been involved in capacity development and training programmes that address needs in the areas of migratory waterbird monitoring and conservation, habitat management, sustainable development, and communication, education and public awareness, and participatory (CEPA) methods? (All Partners)

Yes, CEPA training programme (if 'Yes', please mention the number in the text box below)

,
Optional text box for further information:

1 - While not specifically a training programme, the outputs could be used in training programmes.

Q44 4.10.2 Have you been involved in establishing site based or national capacity building programmes to facilitate the ongoing management of migratory waterbirds and their habitats? (National Partners)

Not applicable

Page 14: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q45 5.11.1 Have you been involved in developing new, or ongoing collaborative projects across the ranges of priority species of migratory waterbirds? (All Partners)

Not applicable

Q46 5.11.2 Have you been involved in producing or implementing International Single Species Action Plans for globally threatened species and populations of migratory waterbirds to act as a flagship for wetland conservation? (All Partners)

Not applicable

Page 15: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q47 Country name

Respondent skipped this question

Q48 Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

Q49 EAAFP Site Code

Respondent skipped this question

Q50 Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

Q51 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

Q52 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q53 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Respondent skipped this question

Q54 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q55 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q56 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q57 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q58 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q59 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q60 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q61 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q62 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q63 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q64 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

Q65 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

Page 16: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q66 Country name

Respondent skipped this question

Q67 Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

Q68 Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

Q69 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

Q70 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q71 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Respondent skipped this question

Q72 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q73 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q74 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q75 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q76 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q77 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q78 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q79 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q80 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q81 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q82 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

Q83 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

Page 17: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q84 Country name

Respondent skipped this question

Q85 Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

Q86 Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

Q87 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

Q88 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q89 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Respondent skipped this question

Q90 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q91 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q92 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q93 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q94 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q95 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q96 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q97 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q98 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q99 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q100 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

Q101 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

Page 18: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q102 Country name

Respondent skipped this question

Q103 Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

Q104 Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

Q105 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

No

Q106 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?	No
Q107 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?	No
Q108 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	No
Q109 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q110 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	No
Q111 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	No
Q112 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	No
Q113 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q114 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q115 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q116 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?	Respondent skipped this question

Q117 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q118 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q119 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 19: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q120 Country name

0

Q121 Name of FNS

0

Q122 Year of joining

0

Q123 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **No**

Q124 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No**

Q125 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **No**

Q126 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **No**

Q127 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q128 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **No**

Q129 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **No**

Q130 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **No**

Q131 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **No**

Q132 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q133 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q134 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q135 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q136 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q137 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **No**

Page 20: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q138 Country name **Respondent skipped this question**

Q139 Name of FNS **Respondent skipped this question**

Q140 Year of joining **Respondent skipped this question**
