

#5

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, August 24, 2018 8:56:53 AM
Last Modified: Friday, August 24, 2018 10:07:32 AM
Time Spent: 01:10:38
IP Address: 124.47.132.150

Page 2: A. Institutional Information

Q1 1. Name of Partner, Working Group or Task Force

Australian Government

Q2 2. Type of Partner**National Governments****Q3** 3. Name, title and contact details of designated contact person(s) Person 1

Name	Dr Mark Carey
Position	Senior Policy Officer
Address	GPO Box 787, Canberra, ACT 2601 AUSTRALIA
Email	mark.carey@environment.gov.au
Phone	+61 2 6274 1873

Q4 Person 2 (if needed)

Name	Ms Narelle Montgomery
Position	Assistant Director
Address	GPO Box 787, Canberra, ACT 2601 AUSTRALIA
Email	narelle.montgomery@environment.gov.au
Phone	+61 2 6274 2818

Q5 Person 3 (if needed)**Respondent skipped this question****Q6** Person 4 (if needed)**Respondent skipped this question****Q7** 4. Name, title and contact details of the person completing this form**Respondent skipped this question**

Page 3: B. General Summary of Implementation:Progress and Challenges

Q8 1. What have been the most successful aspects of your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- a **This questions requires clarification as to whether you are requesting feedback on Secretariat activities, or those implemented by Partners that focus on the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats.**
- b **It is difficult to gauge successful implementation by the Secretariat due to the sporadic nature of communication, and lack of visibility of minutes of meetings from its bodies such as Management Committee, Finance Committee etc.**
- c **It is suggested that the Secretariat examine the independent review report of the Partnership to ascertain any historical successful implementation activities or previous Partner reports submitted to MOPs.**
-

Q9 2. What have been the main challenges in your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- a **Lack of transparency, governance and basic administration by the EAAFP Secretariat is a continuing challenge for Partners who require adequate timeframes to undertake consultation, seek approvals etc.**
- b **The voluntary, non-binding framework of the EAAFP is a challenge to implementation as it does not create any legally-binding obligations on Partners. It also does not assist in creating a stable funding base to support activities carried out under the auspices of the Partnership. However, the nature of the framework can also be viewed as helpful when encouraging new Partners and offering inclusion to a wide range of organisations, not only national governments.**
- c **The lack of structure and decision making processes at MOP contribute to challenges in effectively implementing the Partnership.**
- d **Due to the lack of transparency surrounding Management Committee discussions, it is difficult to establish the level of work undertaken by this main body during the intersessional period. Both the Committee and the Secretariat are tasked with a number of activities each MOP, but assessing progress is a challenge.**
- e **The development of a detailed EAAFP Programme of Work and budget could assist in assessing implementation.**
-

Q10 3. What are the future priorities for your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- a **Awareness, understanding and implementation of governance documents (Management Committee Terms of Reference, Rules of Procedure)**
- b **Adherence to timeframes outlined in the Rules of Procedure for MOPs**
- c **Adopting clear, professional financial reporting standards**
- d **Developing a EAAFP Programme of Work linked to the Strategic Plan and agreed decisions from MOPs**
- e **A greater focus on Partners reporting against the Strategic Plan and the elimination of work plans. The MOP Partner Reports provides an excellent opportunity for Partners to share what they have actually done during the intersessional period, as opposed to what they might aspire to do.**

Q11 4. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from the EAAFP Secretariat?

The EAAFP Secretariat's primary functions are to provide administration, governance and communication of the Partnership. While the Secretariat can assist in providing resources such as training manuals, site management guidelines etc, the Secretariat does not need to devote too many resources to undertaking on-ground management and birdwatching surveys. The Secretariat's primary task is to adhere to the governance documents and MOP decisions as agreed to by Partners. The Secretariat should consider taking a greater role in the coordination of migratory waterbird colour-marking. This sort of coordination is perfectly suited to Secretariat responsibilities.

Q12 5. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from other Partners, Working Groups or Task Forces?

Partners would benefit from the elimination of 'work plans' and a greater focus on reporting on their implementation of the Strategic Plan Targets. Working groups and task forces should have a simple plan that outlines their upcoming intersessional work, and priorities attached to those activities, but it should not be an elaborate document. Working groups and task forces need financial and organisational assistance from the Secretariat to advance their intersessional work. Working groups and task forces would benefit from the support of the Technical Committee to advance their intersessional work. Working groups and task forces would also benefit from having a standard reporting template, different to the Partner reporting template that seeks to highlight key achievements/activities undertaken in the previous intersessional period.

Q13 6. Do you have any other general comments on implementation of the Partnership?

The coordination of colour marking in the EAAF. Australia has taken this role on as the EAAFP Secretariat has not been actively involved in its administration for some time. There is still a clear need for this coordination to occur as had been evidenced by the recent discussion on new flag allocations for various parts of the breeding grounds in the Russian Federation. We believe that the EAAFP would be in a better position to take this role on again through the Technical Committee. At the conclusion of MOP9 in January 2017, Australia made a few suggestions to improve the way EAAFP financial reports are structured. In the past we have had difficulty in understanding the finance reports and the overall financial position of the EAAFP. We suggested that the Secretariat could adopt a clearer template for future MOPs that will assist Partners in understanding the details of the budget and program of work. For example, please see the following link to the Convention on Migratory Species (CMS) 12th Conference of the Parties Budget and Programme of Work 2018-2020 resolution. The information contained in the paper may assist the Secretariat's intersessional work, particularly in relation to discussing key elements of budgets, fundraising and scenario planning. We particularly like the delineation between core Secretariat work and items that require an additional voluntary contribution from Parties. We also draw your attention to the Execution of the CMS Budget in 2015 and 2016 agenda paper. The reporting format at Appendix II and III is very useful and easy to understand, and something that the Secretariat might consider adopting as we move towards MOP10 and beyond. We understand that according to the MOU concerning Hosting, Secretariat and Administrative Services (paragraph 5 (F)), the Secretariat is subject to internal and external audit review and reports are made available to the Meeting of the Partners. We do not recall this occurring at MOP8 or 9. It would be appreciated if these could be made available in the lead up to MOP10 as per the MOU. It would be most appreciated if the EAAFP Secretariat produced appropriate templates for meeting agenda items (MOP, Management, Finance and Technical Committee). This helps ensure consistency across the meeting documents and demonstrates a professional style of communication. For example, CMS documents could be used to develop clearer meeting agenda and MOP templates - <http://www.cms.int/en/cop12docs>

Page 4: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q14 1.1.1 Have you been involved in developing a list of internationally important sites to support the life cycles of the Flyway's waterbirds? (Secretariat, WGs, INGOs and/or Monitoring Task Force) **Yes**

Q15 1.1.2 Have you been involved in prioritization of the above sites (1.1) for nomination in the FSN and to review/revise this list at each successive MoP? (Secretariat / Consultant / Monitoring Task Force) **Not applicable**

Q16 1.1.3a How many new Flyway Network Sites have you been involved in designating since the last reporting period? (National Government Partners) **Please indicate number in optional text box below** ,

Optional text box for further information:
0

Q17 1.1.3b How many new Flyway Network Sites do you intend to designate between MOP10 and MOP11? (National Government Partners) **Please indicate number in optional text box below** ,

Optional text box for further information:
0

Page 5: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q18 1.2.1 Have you helped to make available management guidelines and case studies to enhance the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats, emphasizing participatory management processes and benefits to local communities? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, with support from all Partners) **Yes**

Q19 1.2.2a During the reporting period, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds have you been involved in developing, implementing, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs) **Yes,**
Optional text box for further information:
24

Q20 1.2.2b Between now and MOP11, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds do you intend to develop, implement, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs) **Yes (please indicate the number of management plans in optional text box below)**
,
Optional text box for further information:
24

Q21 1.2.3 Have you helped to develop collaboration with other international initiatives relating to the management of migratory waterbird habitat? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners) **Yes**

Q22 1.2.4 Have you helped to develop model projects at Flyway Network Sites to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs, and contributing to sound integration of wetland biodiversity conservation and sustainable development that benefits local communities? (National Government Partners) **Yes**

Page 6: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q23 1.3.1 Are you working to mainstream migratory waterbird conservation into national policies, plans, and programmes, facilitated by National Partnerships? (National Government Partners) **Yes**

Q24 1.3.2 Have you been working to adapt and make available guidelines and case studies on development and impact assessment processes relevant to network sites and their catchments? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners) **Yes**

Page 7: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q25 2.4.1 Are you using the flyway-wide CEPA strategy at national and site levels as ongoing guidance for the awareness and communication activities of the Flyway Partnership? (All Partners) **Yes**

Q26 2.4.2 Have you been involved in the production of CEPA resources and have made these available to the Secretariat for distribution more widely? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, supported by all Partners) **Yes**

Page 8: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q27 2.5.1 Are your Partnership activities being implemented in line with the CEPA Strategy? **Yes**

Q28 2.5.2a Are you working to support the development and strengthening of national and sub-regional partnerships as mechanisms for delivery of the EAAFP Implementation Strategy? **Partly**

Q29 2.5.2b If there are national and/or sub-regional partnerships, please indicate the number of meetings that have been held since the last reporting period **Number of national or sub-regional meetings held** ,
 Optional text box for further information:
 0

Page 9: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q30 3.6.1 Have you been involved in collaboration and integration activities to increase assessment and monitoring programmes to provide scientifically sound information on the status and trends of migratory waterbird populations? **Yes**

Q31 3.6.2 Have you been involved in developing wetland assessment programmes for any of the Task Force priority regions to provide information on the status of migratory waterbird habitats? **Not applicable**

Q32 3.6.3 Have you been involved in gathering updated information on the status and threats to internationally important sites and to Flyway Network sites in particular? **Yes**

Q33 3.6.4 Have you been involved in identifying the key threatening processes to migratory waterbirds in the EAAF, and compiling technical briefs for the EAAF website to illustrate examples of best practice mitigation measures? **Yes**

Page 10: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q34 3.7.1 Have you been involved in providing input or analyzing count data to identify internationally important sites and gaps for migratory waterbirds in the Flyway and inform conservation measures? (Wetlands International and BirdLife International, with input from National Government Partners and Working Groups) **Yes**

Q35 3.7.2 Have you been involved in surveys to prioritize knowledge gaps at internationally important sites and to progressively address those gaps? (National Government Partners, EAAF WG) **Yes**

Q36 3.7.3 Have you been involved in making data available on internationally important sites and share that data amongst Partners, such as through the EAAF website? (Secretariat, other Partners) **Yes**

Page 11: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q37 3.8.1 Have you been involved in implementing international collaborative research projects for migratory waterbirds to better understand the connectivity across the Flyway and inform development of the Flyway Site Network? (All Partners) **Yes**

Q38 3.8.2 Have you been involved in improving the knowledge base on migratory waterbirds for the three priority subregions, i.e. the Yellow Sea and Amur/Heilong Basin? (Task Forces for these regions, including relevant Government Partners) **Not applicable**

Q39 3.8.3 Have you been involved in reviewing and developing the Migratory Waterbird Marking Protocols for migratory waterbirds? (Task Force on Colour Marking) **Yes**

Page 12: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q40 3.9.1 Have you been involved in international collaborative projects of surveillance programmes at Flyway Network Sites and other important sites to increase the understanding of avian influenza (and other relevant zoonotic diseases) and their impacts on migratory waterbirds? (Partners, APWG-MWAI)

Yes

Q41 3.9.2 Have you been involved in enhancing the network for communication on migratory waterbirds and disease related-issues amongst environment and wildlife agencies and researchers, and other relevant partners? (APWG-MWAI)

Yes

Q42 3.9.3 Have you been involved in the dissemination, review or update of guidelines for improved management planning of wetlands of international importance for waterbirds, aimed at reducing the risk of disease? (Partners, APWG-MWAI)

Yes

Page 13: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q43 4.10.1 Have you been involved in capacity development and training programmes that address needs in the areas of migratory waterbird monitoring and conservation, habitat management, sustainable development, and communication, education and public awareness, and participatory (CEPA) methods? (All Partners)

Yes, migratory waterbird monitoring training programme (if 'Yes', please mention the number in the text box below)

,

Yes, migratory waterbird conservation training programme (if 'Yes', please mention the number in the text box below)

,

Yes, habitat management training programme (if 'Yes', please mention the number in the text box below)

,

Yes, sustainable development training programme (if 'Yes', please mention the number in the text box below)

,

Yes, CEPA training programme (if 'Yes', please mention the number in the text box below)

Q44 4.10.2 Have you been involved in establishing site based or national capacity building programmes to facilitate the ongoing management of migratory waterbirds and their habitats? (National Partners)

Yes

Page 14: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Q45 5.11.1 Have you been involved in developing new, or ongoing collaborative projects across the ranges of priority species of migratory waterbirds? (All Partners)

Yes (Please indicate the number of such projects, including sister-site projects)

Q46 5.11.2 Have you been involved in producing or implementing International Single Species Action Plans for globally threatened species and populations of migratory waterbirds to act as a flagship for wetland conservation? (All Partners)

Yes

Page 15: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q47 Country name

Australia

Q48 Name of FNS

Cornor Inlet

Q49 EAAFP Site Code

009

Q50 Year of joining

1996

Q51 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

No

Q52 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

No

Q53 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Yes

Q54 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Yes

Q55 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q56 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes**

Q57 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes**

Q58 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes**

Q59 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Yes**

Q60 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q61 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q62 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q63 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q64 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q65 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 16: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q66 Country name

Australia

Q67 Name of FNS

EAAF010 Hunter Estuary Ramsar Site

Q68 Year of joining

1996

Q69 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **No****Q70** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No****Q71** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes****Q72** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Yes****Q73** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question****Q74** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes****Q75** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes****Q76** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes****Q77** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Yes****Q78** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q79 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q80 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q81 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q82 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q83 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 17: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q84 Country name

Australia

Q85 Name of FNS

EAAF011 Kakadu National Park

Q86 Year of joining

1996

Q87 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **No**

Q88 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No**

Q89 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes**

Q90 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Yes
Q91 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q92 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q93 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Yes
Q94 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	Yes
Q95 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	Yes
Q96 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Yes
Q97 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	No
Q98 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?	No
Q99 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?	No
Q100 13. Additional information	Respondent skipped this question
Q101 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)	Yes

Page 18: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q102 Country name

Australia

Q103 Name of FNS

EAAF012 Logan Lagoon

Q104 Year of joining

1996

Q105 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **No****Q106** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No****Q107** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes****Q108** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Yes****Q109** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question****Q110** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Respondent skipped this question****Q111** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes****Q112** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes**

Q113 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Yes**

Q114 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q115 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q116 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q117 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q118 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q119 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 19: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q120 Country name

Australia

Q121 Name of FNS

EAAF013 Moreton Bay

Q122 Year of joining

1996

Q123 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **No**

Q124 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

No

Q125 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Yes

Q126 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Yes

Q127 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q128 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Yes

Q129 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Yes

Q130 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Yes

Q131 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Yes

Q132 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Yes

Q133 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

No

Q134 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

No

Q135 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q136 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q137 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 20: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q138 Country name

Australia

Q139 Name of FNS

EAAF014 Orielton Lagoon

Q140 Year of joining

1996

Q141 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **No**

Q142 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No**

Q143 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes**

Q144 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Yes**

Q145 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q146 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes**

Q147 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes**

Q148 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes**

Q149 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Yes**

Q150 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q151 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q152 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q153 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q154 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q155 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 21: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q156 Country name

Australia

Q157 Name of FNS

EAAF015 Ord River Floodplain

Q158 Year of joining	Respondent skipped this question
Q159 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?	Yes
Q160 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?	Yes
Q161 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?	Yes
Q162 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Yes
Q163 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q164 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	Yes
Q165 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Yes
Q166 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	Yes
Q167 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	Yes
Q168 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Yes
Q169 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Yes

Q170 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q171 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q172 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q173 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 22: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q174 Country name

Australia

Q175 Name of FNS

EAAF016 The Coorong, Lake Alexandrina and Lake Albert

Q176 Year of joining

1996

Q177 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **No**

Q178 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No**

Q179 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes**

Q180 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Yes**

Q181 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q182 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes**

Q183 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes**

Q184 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes**

Q185 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Yes**

Q186 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q187 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q188 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q189 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q190 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q191 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Q192 Country name

Australia

Q193 Name of FNS

EAAF017 Forrestdale and Thomsons Lake

Q194 Year of joining

1996

Q195 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Yes****Q196** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **Yes****Q197** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes****Q198** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Yes****Q199** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question****Q200** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes****Q201** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes****Q202** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes****Q203** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Yes**

Q204 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q205 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q206 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q207 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q208 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q209 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 24: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q210 Country name

Australia

Q211 Name of FNS

EAAF065 Port Phillip Bay (Western Shoreline) and Bellarine Peninsula

Q212 Year of joining

2001

Q213 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **No**

Q214 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No**

Q215 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?	Yes
<hr/>	
Q216 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Yes
<hr/>	
Q217 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q218 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	Yes
<hr/>	
Q219 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Yes
<hr/>	
Q220 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	Yes
<hr/>	
Q221 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	Yes
<hr/>	
Q222 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Yes
<hr/>	
Q223 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	No
<hr/>	
Q224 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?	No
<hr/>	
Q225 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?	No
<hr/>	
Q226 13. Additional information	Respondent skipped this question

Q227 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 25: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q228 Country name

Australia

Q229 Name of FNS

EAAF066 Western Port

Q230 Year of joining

2001

Q231 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **No**

Q232 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No**

Q233 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes**

Q234 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Yes**

Q235 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q236 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes**

Q237 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes**

Q238 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes**

Q239 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Yes**

Q240 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q241 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q242 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q243 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q244 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q245 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 26: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q246 Country name

Australia

Q247 Name of FNS

EAAF089 Bowling Green Bay

Q248 Year of joining

2006

Q249 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?	Yes
Q250 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?	No
Q251 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?	Yes
Q252 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Yes
Q253 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q254 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	Yes
Q255 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Yes
Q256 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	Yes
Q257 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	Yes
Q258 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Yes
Q259 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	No
Q260 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?	No

Q261 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q262 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q263 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 27: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q264 Country name

Australia

Q265 Name of FNS

EAAF090 Currawinya National Park

Q266 Year of joining

2006

Q267 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Yes**

Q268 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No**

Q269 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes**

Q270 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Yes**

Q271 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q272 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes**

Q273 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes**

Q274 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes**

Q275 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Yes**

Q276 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q277 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q278 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q279 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q280 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q281 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 28: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q282 Country name

Australia

Q283 Name of FNS

EAAF091 Discovery Bay Coastal Park

Q284 Year of joining

2006

Q285 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Yes**

Q286 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No**

Q287 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes**

Q288 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Yes**

Q289 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q290 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes**

Q291 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes**

Q292 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes**

Q293 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Yes**

Q294 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q295 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q296 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q297 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q298 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q299 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 29: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q300 Country name

Australia

Q301 Name of FNS

EAAF092 Great Sandy Strait

Q302 Year of joining

2006

Q303 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Yes**

Q304 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No**

Q305 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes**

Q306 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Yes**

Q307 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q308 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes**

Q309 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes**

Q310 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes**

Q311 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Yes**

Q312 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q313 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q314 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q315 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q316 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q317 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Q318 Country name

Australia

Q319 Name of FNS

EAAF093 Shallow Inlet Marine and Coastal Park

Q320 Year of joining

2006

Q321 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Yes****Q322** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No****Q323** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes****Q324** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Yes****Q325** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question****Q326** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes****Q327** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes****Q328** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes****Q329** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Yes**

Q330 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q331 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q332 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q333 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q334 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q335 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 31: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q336 Country name

Australia

Q337 Name of FNS

EAAF094 Shoalwater Bay

Q338 Year of joining

2006

Q339 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Yes**

Q340 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No**

Q341 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?	Yes
<hr/>	
Q342 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Yes
<hr/>	
Q343 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
Q344 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	Yes
<hr/>	
Q345 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Yes
<hr/>	
Q346 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	Yes
<hr/>	
Q347 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	Yes
<hr/>	
Q348 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Yes
<hr/>	
Q349 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	No
<hr/>	
Q350 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?	No
<hr/>	
Q351 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?	No
<hr/>	
Q352 13. Additional information	Respondent skipped this question

Q353 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 32: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q354 Country name

Australia

Q355 Name of FNS

EAAF110 Eight-Mile Beach, WA

Q356 Year of joining

2013

Q357 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Yes**

Q358 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No**

Q359 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes**

Q360 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Yes**

Q361 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q362 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes**

Q363 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes**

Q364 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes**

Q365 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Yes**

Q366 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q367 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

Q368 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q369 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q370 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q371 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 33: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q372 Country name

Australia

Q373 Name of FNS

EAAF111 Roebuck Bay, WA

Q374 Year of joining

2013

Q375 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?	Yes
Q376 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?	No
Q377 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?	Yes
Q378 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Yes
Q379 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q380 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	Yes
Q381 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Yes
Q382 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	Yes
Q383 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	Yes
Q384 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Yes
Q385 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	No
Q386 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?	No

Q387 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q388 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q389 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 34: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q390 Country name

Australia

Q391 Name of FNS

EAAF120 South-East Gulf of Carpentaria: Karumba Smithburne (Delta Downs)

Q392 Year of joining

2014

Q393 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Yes**

Q394 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **Yes**

Q395 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes**

Q396 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Yes**

Q397 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q398 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes**

Q399 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes**

Q400 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes**

Q401 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Yes**

Q402 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q403 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q404 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q405 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q406 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q407 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 35: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q408 Country name

Australia

Q409 Name of FNS

EAAF125 South-East Gulf of Carpentaria: Nijinda Durlga (Tarrant)

Q410 Year of joining

2016

Q411 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Yes**

Q412 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **Yes**

Q413 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes**

Q414 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Yes**

Q415 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q416 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes**

Q417 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes**

Q418 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes**

Q419 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Yes**

Q420 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q421 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q422 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q423 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q424 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q425 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 36: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q426 Country name

Australia

Q427 Name of FNS

EAAF131 Adelaide International Bird Sanctuary

Q428 Year of joining

2016

Q429 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Yes**

Q430 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **Yes**

Q431 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes**

Q432 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Yes**

Q433 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q434 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes**

Q435 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes**

Q436 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes**

Q437 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Yes**

Q438 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q439 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q440 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q441 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q442 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q443 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Q444 Country name

Australia

Q445 Name of FNS

EAAF132 Ashmore Reef Commonwealth Marine Park

Q446 Year of joining

2016

Q447 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Yes****Q448** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **Yes****Q449** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Yes****Q450** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Yes****Q451** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question****Q452** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Yes****Q453** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Yes****Q454** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Yes****Q455** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Yes**

Q456 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q457 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Yes**

Q458 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

Q459 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

Q460 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

Q461 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Yes**

Page 38: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q462 Country name

Australia

Q463 Name of FNS

EAAF136 Pulu Keeling National Park

Q464 Year of joining

2017

Q465 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Yes**

Q466 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **Yes**

Q467 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?	Yes
Q468 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Yes
Q469 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q470 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	Yes
Q471 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Yes
Q472 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	Yes
Q473 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	Yes
Q474 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Yes
Q475 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Yes
Q476 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?	No
Q477 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?	No
Q478 13. Additional information	Respondent skipped this question

Q479 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **No**

Page 39: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

Q480 Country name **Respondent skipped this question**

Q481 Name of FNS **Respondent skipped this question**

Q482 Year of joining **Respondent skipped this question**

Q483 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q484 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q485 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q486 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q487 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q488 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q489 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q490 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Respondent skipped this question**