

## #12

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, September 14, 2018 5:15:50 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, September 14, 2018 10:45:11 PM  
**Time Spent:** 05:29:20  
**IP Address:** 128.140.208.6

## Page 2: A. Institutional Information

**Q1** 1. Name of Partner, Working Group or Task Force

Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)

**Q2** 2. Type of Partner**Inter-Governmental Organisations****Q3** 3. Name, title and contact details of designated contact person(s) Person 1

Name	<b>Borja Heredia</b>
Position	<b>Head of Avian Species Team, UNEP/CMS Secretariat</b>
Address	<b>United Nations Campus □ Platz der Vereinten Nationen 1 □ 53113 Bonn, Germany</b>
Email	<b>borja.heredia@cms.int</b>
Phone	<b>(+49 228) 815 2422</b>

**Q4** Person 2 (if needed)**Respondent skipped this question****Q5** Person 3 (if needed)**Respondent skipped this question****Q6** Person 4 (if needed)**Respondent skipped this question****Q7** 4. Name, title and contact details of the person completing this form

Name	<b>Borja Heredia</b>
Position	<b>Head of Avian Species Team, UNEP/CMS Secretariat</b>
Address	<b>United Nations Campus □ Platz der Vereinten Nationen 1 □ 53113 Bonn, Germany</b>
Email	<b>borja.heredia@cms.int</b>
Phone	<b>(+49 228) 815 2422</b>

## Page 3: B. General Summary of Implementation:Progress and Challenges

**Q8** 1. What have been the most successful aspects of your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- a **CMS considers a success the adoption of Action Plans for Baer's Pochard, Far-Eastern Curlew (the Government of Australia has provided 2 voluntary contributions of 20,000 AU Dollar to the CMS Secretariat for the implementation of the Action Plan, in 2016 and 2018), Dalmatian Pelican and White-headed Duck Action (not part of EAAF).**
- b **Illegal Hunting, Taking and Trade of Migratory Birds - Resolution 11.16 (Rev.COP12) and Decisions 12.28 and 12.30. Resolution 11.16 (Rev.COP12) recognizes illegal hunting, taking and trade of migratory birds threatens species survival at the global scale. As decided by COP12 through this Resolution and in line with Decision 12.30, CMS Secretariat has started the establishment of an Intergovernmental Task Force to Address Illegal Hunting, Taking and Trade of Migratory Birds in the East Asian-Australasian Flyway (ITTEA). It will complement the efforts already started by the East Asian-Australasian Flyway Partnership (EAAFP) to mitigate the illegal hunting of waterbirds along the flyway. In cooperation with EAAFP and with the support of Birdlife Singapore invitations have been drafted requesting the nomination of focal points by the countries in the region for the Task Force. The ITTEA will be established and governed according to the Terms of Reference contained in Resolution 11.16 (Rev.COP12), in cooperation with the EAAFP (Decision 10 of the 9th Meeting of the Partners to the EAAFP). It will include government representatives of all CMS Parties, members countries of EAAFP in the region and stakeholders such as the Arctic Migratory Birds Initiative (AMBI, under CAFF), BirdLife International, and hunting associations.**

**Q9 2.** What have been the main challenges in your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

- a **Low Membership of CMS in the Region. The East Asian-Australasian Flyway passes through 22 countries. As of 1 December 2017, the Convention on Migratory Species has 126 Parties, whereas among them the Membership in this flyway region is relatively low: only 5 countries are Parties to CMS, namely Australia, Bangladesh, India, New Zealand and the Philippines.**
- b **Baer's Pochard Action Plan. The main challenges are obtaining funds for the implementation of the action plan, and raise awareness of its importance, particularly in the Range States that are not Parties to the Convention.**
- c **Dalmatian Pelican Action Plan. The main challenge will be to raise sufficient funds for supporting the implementation of this plan. The population in East Asia, which breeds in Mongolia and migrates into China is in a critical situation.**
- d **Illegal Hunting, Taking and Trade of Migratory Birds - Resolution 11.16 (Rev.COP12) and Decisions 12.28 and 12.30. The main challenge of this project is to catalyze action and political will by engaging the governments of the Asian countries in the work of the Task Force – galvanizing international cooperation, addressing the drivers behind illegal hunting, taking and trade of migratory birds, monitoring and collecting data and supporting enacting necessary laws and the enforcement of existing legislation – towards the overall goal of diminishing IHB. A situation analysis covering the region is needed in order to tailor the programme of work and will be carried out by BirdLife International.**
-

**Q10** 3. What are the future priorities for your implementation of the Partnership? (up to five points)

a

The protection of coastal areas of the Yellow Sea. Several globally threatened species use the Yellow Sea as a key stopover site during their migrations. Land reclamation and habitat loss threaten the intertidal wetlands on the Yellow Sea and the migration of 50 million waterbirds. CMS will continue to work with Parties, Non-parties and partners to promote the protection of this region in accordance with the Resolution 12.25 adopted by the COP at its 12th Meeting (Manila, October 2017). It recognizes the urgent need to create ecologically representative and well-connected networks of protected areas to address the needs of migratory species as far as possible throughout their life cycles and migratory ranges, welcomes the steps of the Yellow Sea nations towards World Heritage Site nomination of their coastal wetlands. It recognizes the importance of cooperation between countries for achieving effective management, to develop international and national action plans and coastal zone plans by 2020 to secure the future of this fundamentally important resource.

b

Implementation of the Action Plans, namely for Baer's Pochard, Far-Eastern Curlew and Dalmatian Pelican.

c

Illegal Hunting, Taking and Trade of Migratory Birds - Resolution 11.16 (Rev.COP12) and Decisions 12.28 and 12.30. The First Meeting of the ITTEA is intended to be held during the 10th Meeting of Parties in 2018 CMS Secretariat is supporting the preparations of the first meeting. CMS Secretariat is raising the ITTEA profile through a proactive communications strategy. The work of the Mediterranean Task Force on illegal killing, taking and trade of birds (MIKT) is a model to be replicated by the ITTEA, and by similar task forces established in other problem areas, e.g. the Middle East or the Americas. CMS Secretariat will ensure close cooperation between MIKT and ITTEA to identify synergies and shared objectives.

---

**Q11** 4. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from the EAAFP Secretariat?

n/a

---

**Q125.** Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from other Partners, Working Groups or Task Forces?

n/a

---

**Q136.** Do you have any other general comments on implementation of the Partnership?

CMS considers the adoption of the aforementioned Action Plans and initiatives to be successful, although the real success depends on effective implementation of them. Recalling the following CMS Resolutions, CMS requests the implementation of the Partnership in line with • Resolution 11.15 (Rev.COP12); Decisions 12.18-12.19: Preventing Poisoning of Migratory Birds. Resolution 11.15 was adopted together with its annexed “Guidelines to Prevent the Risk of Poisoning to Migratory Birds”, and the “Review of the ecological effects of poisoning on migratory birds” (UNEP/CMS/COP11/Inf.34). The Guidelines tackle the risk to migratory birds resulting from insecticides, rodenticides, poison baits, veterinary pharmaceuticals and lead from ammunition, fishing weights and other sources of lead. Resolution 11.15 mandated the Inter-sessional CMS Preventing Poisoning Working Group (PPWG) under the Scientific Council to continue its work under the Terms of Reference in the annex of the Resolution. Based on the preparations provided through the 2nd Meeting of the PPWG, Resolution 11.15 has been revised at COP12, which adopted the Terms of Reference of a Task Group on Lead and the Programme of Work of the PPWG for the period 2017-2020. Decision 12.18 encourages Parties and other donors to provide financial and technical support for the coordination of the PPWG, and for the implementation of the Programme of Work, particularly for organizing regional workshops in high risk areas/flyways. • Resolution 11.27 (Rev.COP12): Renewable Energy and Migratory Species. Resolution 11.27 instructed the Secretariat to establish a multi-stakeholder Task Force on Reconciling Selected Energy Sector Developments with Migratory Species Conservation (the Energy Task Force, ETF). At its first meeting in Cape Town, South Africa (2016), the ETF adopted a Work Plan 2017-2018 and the Cape Town Declaration, as a tool to inform stakeholders about the objectives of the ETF and its approach to achieve them. It also established a Technical Working Group tasked to identify key research priorities, review existing guidance material, and prepare a series of technical notes to accompany the existing CMS/AEWA guidelines if needed, and convene a process to review existing sensitivity mapping tools. At the second meeting of the ETF (Bonn, Germany, 2017), priorities for future work and opportunities for collaboration have been identified. COP12 encouraged Parties and stakeholders to support the work plan of the ETF, and the ETF to produce guidance for assessing cumulative impacts of (renewable) energy and power line developments on migratory species, including beyond national borders. • Resolution 12.7: The Role of Ecological Networks in the Conservation of Migratory Species. Resolution 12.7 consolidates the Resolutions 10.3 and 11.25 (see also Resolution 12.26). It includes a number of recommendations and calls on Parties inter alia to consider the network approach in the implementation of existing CMS instruments and initiatives, in policy-making, application of planning tools, international cooperation, monitoring and addressing of threats to migratory species. • Resolution 12.26; Decisions 12.91 - 12.93: Improving Ways of Addressing Connectivity in the Conservation of Migratory Species. The Strategic Plan for Migratory Species emphasizes that migratory species exhibit special forms of dynamic connectivity that make them keystone components of ecosystems, while also exposing them to particular vulnerabilities. With the adoption of Resolution 11.25 on Advancing ecological networks to address the needs of migratory species, Parties at COP11 expressed deep concern at the increasing fragmentation of habitats for migratory species and identified a list of matters requiring further work in relation to ecological networks, including improved understanding of connectivity issues and improved ways of addressing these issues in actions for the conservation of migratory species. At two workshops held in Italy in September 2015 and May 2017, under the auspices of the CMS Scientific Council, available scientific evidence and experiences were reviewed, and recommendations made for further work on migratory species connectivity in both terrestrial and marine environments. Discussions for a new Resolution continued during the first and the second meetings of the Sessional Committee of CMS Scientific Council (Bonn, Germany, 2016 and 2017) emphasizing the potential for connectivity to be a hallmark of CMS. Resolution 12.26 urges Parties to give special attention to connectivity when planning, implementing and evaluating actions to support the conservation and management of migratory species, both at national level and in the context of international cooperation, by an approach towards whole migration systems and processes, which inter alia includes prioritization of protected areas, strengthening and expanding ecological networks and addressing threats to connectivity.

---

**Q14** 1.1.1 Have you been involved in developing a list of internationally important sites to support the life cycles of the Flyway's waterbirds? (Secretariat, WGs, INGOs and/or Monitoring Task Force) **Not applicable**

---

**Q15** 1.1.2 Have you been involved in prioritization of the above sites (1.1) for nomination in the FSN and to review/revise this list at each successive MoP? (Secretariat / Consultant / Monitoring Task Force) **Not applicable**

---

**Q16** 1.1.3a How many new Flyway Network Sites have you been involved in designating since the last reporting period? (National Government Partners) **Not applicable**

---

**Q17** 1.1.3b How many new Flyway Network Sites do you intend to designate between MOP10 and MOP11? (National Government Partners) **Not applicable**

---

Page 5: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

**Q18** 1.2.1 Have you helped to make available management guidelines and case studies to enhance the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats, emphasizing participatory management processes and benefits to local communities? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, with support from all Partners)

**Yes,**

Optional text box for further information:

Resolution 11.14 "Programme of Work on Migratory Birds and Flyways 2014-2023" (the POW) was adopted by COP 11 (Nov 2014) providing an overall framework for flyway conservation and management. CMS Parties are urged to implement the POW and Non-Parties are encouraged to do it. The POW contains specific provisions for the EAAF and provides guidance for management of flyways of migratory birds. The POW explores possibilities to further develop conservation frameworks in the EAAF for all migratory birds through Action/Management Plans for selected priority species/species groups developed and implemented as well as a conservation framework for migratory birds (landbirds and raptors) identified. It has also supported the implementation of IUCN World Conservation Congress 2012 Resolution 28 on the Conservation of the EAAF and its threatened waterbirds through Yellow Sea and EAAF coastal habitat restoration and management promoted as an initial focus of a global initiative.

---

**Q19** 1.2.2a During the reporting period, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds have you been involved in developing, implementing, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs)

**Not applicable**

---

**Q20** 1.2.2b Between now and MOP11, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds do you intend to develop, implement, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs)

**Not applicable**

---

**Q21** 1.2.3 Have you helped to develop collaboration with other international initiatives relating to the management of migratory waterbird habitat? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners)

**Not applicable**

---

**Q22** 1.2.4 Have you helped to develop model projects at Flyway Network Sites to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs, and contributing to sound integration of wetland biodiversity conservation and sustainable development that benefits local communities? (National Government Partners)

**Not applicable**

---

Page 6: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

**Q23** 1.3.1 Are you working to mainstream migratory waterbird conservation into national policies, plans, and programmes, facilitated by National Partnerships? (National Government Partners)

**Not applicable**

---

**Q24** 1.3.2 Have you been working to adapt and make available guidelines and case studies on development and impact assessment processes relevant to network sites and their catchments? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners)

**Not applicable**

---

Page 7: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

**Q25** 2.4.1 Are you using the flyway-wide CEPA strategy at national and site levels as ongoing guidance for the awareness and communication activities of the Flyway Partnership? (All Partners)

**Yes,**

Optional text box for further information:

World Migratory Bird Day (WMBD) has been organized annually around the planet's major migratory bird corridors, including EAAF. It is a global awareness-raising campaign highlighting the need for the conservation of migratory birds and their habitats. Since 2006, the events have been held in over 130 countries stimulating people and organizations to work together to conserve migratory birds worldwide. The global campaign is being supported by a growing number of partners. On 26 October 2017 on the sidelines of the CMS COP12 in Manila, the Convention on Migratory Species (CMS), Environment for the Americas (EFTA), and the Agreement on the Conservation of African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds (AEWA) announced an innovative partnership to boost awareness of the plight of migratory birds around the world. The new partnership formally unites two of the world's largest bird education campaigns, International Migratory Bird Day (IMBD) and World Migratory Bird Day (WMBD) in a bid to strengthen recognition and appreciation of migratory birds and highlight the urgent need for their conservation. From 2018 onwards, the new joint campaign will adopt the single name of "World Migratory Bird Day".

**Q26** 2.4.2 Have you been involved in the production of CEPA resources and have made these available to the Secretariat for distribution more widely? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, supported by all Partners)

**Yes,**

Optional text box for further information:

WMBD produced annual CEPA report which links to the specific theme of the year's campaign.

Page 8: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

**Q27** 2.5.1 Are your Partnership activities being implemented in line with the CEPA Strategy?

**Not applicable**

**Q28** 2.5.2a Are you working to support the development and strengthening of national and sub-regional partnerships as mechanisms for delivery of the EAAFP Implementation Strategy?

**Not applicable**

**Q29** 2.5.2b If there are national and/or sub-regional partnerships, please indicate the number of meetings that have been held since the last reporting period

**Not applicable**



## Page 9: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

**Q30** 3.6.1 Have you been involved in collaboration and integration activities to increase assessment and monitoring programmes to provide scientifically sound information on the status and trends of migratory waterbird populations?

**Yes,**

Optional text box for further information:

CMS has reviewed current monitoring of migratory birds worldwide, standardized monitoring established for one or more taxonomic groups within a flyway and between flyways, and unified systems of data storage for bird monitoring data. CMS published A Review of Migratory Bird Flyways and Priorities for Management (CMS Technical Series Publication No.27; <https://www.cms.int/en/publication/review-migratory-bird-flyways-and-priorities-management-ts-no-27>) which provides review of CMS and non-CMS existing administrative and management instruments for migratory birds globally, review of current knowledge of birds flyways, principal knowledges gaps and conservation priorities, as well as policy options for migratory bird flyways.

**Q31** 3.6.2 Have you been involved in developing wetland assessment programmes for any of the Task Force priority regions to provide information on the status of migratory waterbird habitats?

**Yes,**

Optional text box for further information:

In 2018, IPBES has published the Regional Assessment Report on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services for Asia and the Pacific, which develops an up-to-date and full range assessments of available knowledge regarding the importance, status, and trends of biodiversity, including the status of migratory waterbird habitats, to make informed decisions at the local, regional and international levels (link to this report: [https://www.ipbes.net/system/tdf/spm\\_asia-pacific\\_2018\\_digital.pdf?file=1&type=node&id=28394](https://www.ipbes.net/system/tdf/spm_asia-pacific_2018_digital.pdf?file=1&type=node&id=28394)).

**Q32** 3.6.3 Have you been involved in gathering updated information on the status and threats to internationally important sites and to Flyway Network sites in particular?

**Yes,**

Optional text box for further information:

In September 2014, CMS participated in an International Workshop held in Beijing on Intertidal Wetlands and Management in the Yellow Sea Provinces of China.

**Q33** 3.6.4 Have you been involved in identifying the key threatening processes to migratory waterbirds in the EAAF, and compiling technical briefs for the EAAF website to illustrate examples of best practice mitigation measures?

**Not applicable**

## Page 10: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

**Q34** 3.7.1 Have you been involved in providing input or analyzing count data to identify internationally important sites and gaps for migratory waterbirds in the Flyway and inform conservation measures? (Wetlands International and BirdLife International, with input from National Government Partners and Working Groups) **Not applicable**

---

**Q35** 3.7.2 Have you been involved in surveys to prioritize knowledge gaps at internationally important sites and to progressively address those gaps? (National Government Partners, EAAFP WGs) **Not applicable**

---

**Q36** 3.7.3 Have you been involved in making data available on internationally important sites and share that data amongst Partners, such as through the EAAFP website? (Secretariat, other Partners) **Not applicable**

---

## Page 11: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

**Q37** 3.8.1 Have you been involved in implementing international collaborative research projects for migratory waterbirds to better understand the connectivity across the Flyway and inform development of the Flyway Site Network? (All Partners) **Not applicable**

---

**Q38** 3.8.2 Have you been involved in improving the knowledge base on migratory waterbirds for the three priority subregions, i.e. the Yellow Sea and Amur/Heilong Basin? (Task Forces for these regions, including relevant Government Partners) **Not applicable**

---

**Q39** 3.8.3 Have you been involved in reviewing and developing the Migratory Waterbird Marking Protocols for migratory waterbirds? (Task Force on Colour Marking) **Not applicable**

---

## Page 12: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

**Q40** 3.9.1 Have you been involved in international collaborative projects of surveillance programmes at Flyway Network Sites and other important sites to increase the understanding of avian influenza (and other relevant zoonotic diseases) and their impacts on migratory waterbirds? (Partners, APWG-MWAI)

**Yes,**

Optional text box for further information:

The Scientific Task Force on Avian Influenza and Wild Birds has operated under CMS since 2005. The latest statement was released on 20 December 2016. The Task has brought together the best scientific advice on the conservation impact of the spread of avian influenza, assessing the role of migratory birds as vectors of the virus. The Task Force draws on the expertise of conservation scientists, hunters, veterinarians, epidemiologists, virologists, land managers and other experts comprised of 14 members and observers, including UN bodies, wildlife treaties and specialist intergovernmental and nongovernmental organizations.

**Q41** 3.9.2 Have you been involved in enhancing the network for communication on migratory waterbirds and disease related-issues amongst environment and wildlife agencies and researchers, and other relevant partners? (APWG-MWAI)

**Yes,**

Optional text box for further information:

The Scientific Task Force on Avian Influenza works as a communication and coordination network and continues to review the role of wild birds in the epidemiology of AI and the impact of the disease on wild birds, promoting a balanced opinion based on currently available evidence. Task Force observers include the United Nations Environment Programme, World Health Organisation and World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE). Task Force members include FAO, CMS, and the African Eurasian Waterbird Agreement (AEWA), BirdLife International, Ecohealth Alliance, International Council for Game and Wildlife Conservation (CIC), Ramsar Convention, Royal Veterinary College, Wetlands International, and Wildfowl & Wetlands Trust (WWT). Additionally, in the wake of the spread of the H5N1 Avian Influenza virus via migratory birds, World Migratory Bird Day (WMBD) was initiated as a countermeasure by AEWA and CMS to join hands with major international organizations for information sharing and awareness raising through international cooperation.

**Q42** 3.9.3 Have you been involved in the dissemination, review or update of guidelines for improved management planning of wetlands of international importance for waterbirds, aimed at reducing the risk of disease? (Partners, APWG-MWAI)

**Yes,**

Optional text box for further information:

The Scientific Task Force on Avian Influenza and Wild Birds has intended to issue advice on the root causes of the epidemic as well as on technically sound measures to combat it and to develop early warning systems.

## Page 13: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

**Q43** 4.10.1 Have you been involved in capacity development and training programmes that address needs in the areas of migratory waterbird monitoring and conservation, habitat management, sustainable development, and communication, education and public awareness, and participatory (CEPA) methods? (All Partners)

**Not applicable**

**Q44** 4.10.2 Have you been involved in establishing site based or national capacity building programmes to facilitate the ongoing management of migratory waterbirds and their habitats? (National Partners)

**Not applicable**

## Page 14: C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

**Q45** 5.11.1 Have you been involved in developing new, or ongoing collaborative projects across the ranges of priority species of migratory waterbirds? (All Partners)

**Yes (Please indicate the number of such projects, including sister-site projects)**

,  
Optional text box for further information:

one project: Programme of Work on Migratory Birds and Flyways, adopted by the Conference of the Parties at its 11th Meeting (Quito, 4-9 November 2014)

**Q46** 5.11.2 Have you been involved in producing or implementing International Single Species Action Plans for globally threatened species and populations of migratory waterbirds to act as a flagship for wetland conservation? (All Partners)

**Yes,**

Optional text box for further information:

Far-Eastern Curlew Action Plan; Dalmatian Pelican Action Plan; White-headed Duck Action Plan; Baer's Pochard Action Plan.

## Page 15: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q47** Country name

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q48** Name of FNS

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q49** EAAFP Site Code

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q50** Year of joining

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q51** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q52** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q53** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q54** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q55** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q56** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q57** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q58** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q59** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q60** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q61** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q62** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q63** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q64** 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q65** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 16: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q66** Country name Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q67** Name of FNS Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q68** Year of joining Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q69** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q70** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q71** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q72** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q73** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q74** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q75** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q76** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q77** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q78** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q79** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q80** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q81** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q82** 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q83** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 17: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q84** Country name

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q85** Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q86** Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q87** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

---

---

**Q88** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q89** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q90** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q91** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q92** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q93** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q94** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q95** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q96** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q97** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q98** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---



**Q99** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q100** 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q101** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 18: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q102** Country name Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q103** Name of FNS Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q104** Year of joining Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q105** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q106** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q107** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q108** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q109** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q110** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q111** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q112** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q113** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q114** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q115** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q116** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q117** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q118** 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

**Q119** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

Page 19: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q120** Country name

Respondent skipped this question

**Q121** Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

**Q122** Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

**Q123** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q124** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q125** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q126** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q127** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q128** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q129** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q130** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q131** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q132** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q133** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q134** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q135** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q136** 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q137** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 20: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q138** Country name

n/a

---

**Q139** Name of FNS

n/a

---

**Q140** Year of joining

n/a

---

**Q141** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q142** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q143** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q144** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q145** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q146** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q147** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q148** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q149** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q150** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q151** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q152** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q153** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q154** 13. Additional information

n/a

---

**Q155** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 21: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q156** Country name

n/a

---

**Q157** Name of FNSn/a

---

**Q158** Year of joiningn/a

---

**Q159** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **No**

---

**Q160** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **No**

---

**Q161** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **No**

---

**Q162** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? **No**

---

**Q163** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?n/a

---

**Q164** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? **No**

---

**Q165** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **No**

---

**Q166** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **No**

---

**Q167** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **No**

---

**Q168** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

---

**Q169** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **No**

---

**Q170** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **No**

---

**Q171** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **No**

---

**Q172** 13. Additional information

n/a

---

**Q173** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **No**

---

Page 22: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q174** Country name **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q175** Name of FNS **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q176** Year of joining **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q177** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q178** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q179** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

---

**Q180** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q181** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q182** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q183** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q184** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q185** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q186** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q187** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q188** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q189** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q190** 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q191** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) Respondent skipped this question

---



## Page 23: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

---

**Q192** Country name Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q193** Name of FNS Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q194** Year of joining Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q195** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q196** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q197** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q198** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q199** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q200** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q201** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q202** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q203** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q204** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q205** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q206** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q207** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q208** 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q209** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 24: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q210** Country name Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q211** Name of FNS Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q212** Year of joining Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q213** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q214** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q215** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q216** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q217** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

---

**Q218** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q219** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q220** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q221** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q222** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q223** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q224** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q225** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q226** 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q227** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 25: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q228** Country name Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q229** Name of FNS Respondent skipped this question

---

<b>Q230</b> Year of joining	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q231</b> 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q232</b> 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q233</b> 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q234</b> 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q235</b> 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q236</b> 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q237</b> 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q238</b> 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q239</b> 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q240</b> 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q241</b> 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question

**Q242** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q243** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q244** 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q245** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 26: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q246** Country name

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q247** Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q248** Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q249** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q250** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q251** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q252** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q253** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q254** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q255** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q256** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q257** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q258** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q259** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q260** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q261** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q262** 13. Additional information **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q263** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 27: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q264** Country name **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q265** Name of FNS **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q266** Year of joining **Respondent skipped this question**

---

---

<b>Q267</b> 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
<b>Q268</b> 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
<b>Q269</b> 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
<b>Q270</b> 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
<b>Q271</b> 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
<b>Q272</b> 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
<b>Q273</b> 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
<b>Q274</b> 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
<b>Q275</b> 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
<b>Q276</b> 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
<b>Q277</b> 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?	Respondent skipped this question
<hr/>	
<b>Q278</b> 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?	Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q279** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q280** 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q281** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 28: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q282** Country name Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q283** Name of FNS Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q284** Year of joining Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q285** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q286** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q287** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q288** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q289** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q290** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q291** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---



**Q292** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q293** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q294** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q295** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q296** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q297** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q298** 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q299** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 29: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q300** Country name

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q301** Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q302** Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q303** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

---

---

**Q304** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q305** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q306** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q307** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q308** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q309** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q310** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q311** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q312** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q313** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q314** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

---

**Q315** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q316** 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q317** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 30: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q318** Country name Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q319** Name of FNS Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q320** Year of joining Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q321** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q322** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q323** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q324** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q325** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q326** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q327** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q328** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q329** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q330** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q331** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q332** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q333** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q334** 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q335** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 31: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q336** Country name

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q337** Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q338** Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q339** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

---

---

**Q340** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q341** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q342** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q343** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q344** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q345** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q346** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q347** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q348** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q349** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q350** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q351** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q352** 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q353** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 32: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q354** Country name Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q355** Name of FNS Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q356** Year of joining Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q357** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q358** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q359** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q360** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q361** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q362** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q363** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q364** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q365** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q366** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q367** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q368** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q369** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q370** 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q371** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 33: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q372** Country name

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q373** Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q374** Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q375** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

---

---

**Q376** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q377** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q378** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q379** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q380** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q381** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q382** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q383** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q384** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q385** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q386** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---



**Q387** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q388** 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q389** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 34: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q390** Country name Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q391** Name of FNS Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q392** Year of joining Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q393** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q394** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q395** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q396** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q397** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q398** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q399** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q400** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q401** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q402** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q403** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q404** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q405** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q406** 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q407** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 35: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q408** Country name

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q409** Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q410** Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q411** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

---

---

**Q412** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q413** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q414** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q415** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q416** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q417** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q418** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q419** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q420** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q421** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q422** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q423** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q424** 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q425** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 36: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q426** Country name Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q427** Name of FNS Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q428** Year of joining Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q429** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q430** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q431** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q432** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q433** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q434** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q435** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q436** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q437** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q438** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q439** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q440** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q441** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q442** 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q443** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 37: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q444** Country name

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q445** Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q446** Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q447** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

---

---

**Q448** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q449** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q450** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q451** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q452** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q453** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q454** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q455** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q456** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q457** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q458** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q459** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q460** 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q461** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 38: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q462** Country name Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q463** Name of FNS Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q464** Year of joining Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q465** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q466** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q467** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q468** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q469** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q470** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q471** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q472** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q473** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q474** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q475** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q476** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q477** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q478** 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q479** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 39: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q480** Country name

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q481** Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q482** Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q483** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

---



---

**Q484** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q485** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q486** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q487** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q488** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q489** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q490** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q491** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q492** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q493** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q494** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q495** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q496** 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q497** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 40: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q498** Country name Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q499** Name of FNS Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q500** Year of joining Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q501** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q502** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q503** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q504** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q505** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q506** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q507** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q508** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q509** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q510** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q511** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q512** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q513** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q514** 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q515** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 41: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q516** Country name

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q517** Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q518** Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q519** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

---

---

**Q520** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q521** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q522** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q523** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q524** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q525** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q526** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q527** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q528** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q529** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q530** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q531** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q532** 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q533** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 42: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q534** Country name Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q535** Name of FNS Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q536** Year of joining Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q537** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q538** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q539** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q540** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q541** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q542** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q543** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q544** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q545** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q546** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q547** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q548** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q549** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q550** 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q551** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 43: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q552** Country name

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q553** Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q554** Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q555** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

---

---

**Q556** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q557** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q558** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q559** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q560** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q561** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q562** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q563** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q564** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q565** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q566** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q567** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q568** 13. Additional information Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q569** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created) Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 44: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q570** Country name Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q571** Name of FNS Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q572** Year of joining Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q573** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q574** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q575** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q576** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q577** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q578** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q579** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)? Respondent skipped this question

---



---

**Q580** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q581** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q582** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q583** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q584** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q585** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q586** 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q587** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 45: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q588** Country name

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q589** Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q590** Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q591** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

---

---

**Q592** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q593** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q594** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q595** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q596** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q597** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q598** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q599** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q600** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q601** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q602** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

---

**Q603** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q604** 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q605** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

---

---

Page 46: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q606** Country name

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q607** Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q608** Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q609** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q610** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q611** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q612** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q613** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q614** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q615** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

---

**Q616** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q617** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q618** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q619** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q620** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q621** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q622** 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q623** 14. Do you have any additional Sites to declare? (If you click 'Yes', another page for Flyway Network Site will be created)

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 47: D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites

**Q624** Country name

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q625** Name of FNS

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q626** Year of joining

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q627** 1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet?

Respondent skipped this question

---

---

**Q628** 2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets (SIS) every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS (Key Result Area 1.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q629** 3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q630** 4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q631** 4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q632** 5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q633** 6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q634** 7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q635** 8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q636** 9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q637** 10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q638** 11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q639** 12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q640** 13. Additional information

Respondent skipped this question

---